

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120743
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Indigenous Upland Communities: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It is an excellent area to study and I believe that more research can be taken up for further exploration of the cultural dimensions of indigenous communities. There are very few research work in this area which explore COVID-19 impacts on indigenous communities. The paper attempted to provide perspectives from health, culture, and livelihood where very few studies have the same perspectives. The scholar community will be largely benefitted from this document as the researcher also used field-level inputs and data so some unknown facts will be revealed.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>No, In the main paper, the author brings aspects of livelihood, health, economy, and culture but in the title, he/she only mentions the cultural dimension.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>At least one sentence may be added in the abstract for the Methodology of this study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The background and importance/scope of the study can be added as separate points</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The author desired to attempt multiple aspects such as economy, livelihood, cultural practices, and health, so I would like to request him how far he able to justify all these dimension in the main paper. My suggestion would be to minimize the dimension. The author stated in the Methodology that the interview was done with the key informants and a little detail about these key informants and area needs to be added. The author also needs to add points/findings that he/she directly gathers from these key informants and the author may also add his/her key observations. The author stated that "Health-wise, indigenous groups view COVID-19 as a direct threat, navigating a complex interplay between traditional healing practices and modern interventions" so he/she needs to discuss that how these threats being measured and how he concludes it. A little more detail about the respondent/key informants and the areas of data collection, number of key informants interviewed by the researcher etc. Limitations of the study must be added</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>The referencing style needs to be checked and the numbering under reference is not correct.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santanu Bhowmick
Department, University & Country	India