

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120743</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Indigenous Upland Communities: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Health care quality Journal</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript "Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Indigenous Upland Communities: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis" is crucial for the scientific community as it provides a nuanced understanding of how COVID-19 has affected marginalized groups. It highlights the unique challenges faced by indigenous upland communities, often overlooked in mainstream research. This work underscores the importance of cultural relativism in public health, advocating for tailored interventions that respect and incorporate local knowledge and practices. I appreciate this manuscript for its depth in addressing intersectional issues and promoting a more inclusive approach to pandemic response, although I would have liked to see more comparative data with other similar communities for a broader context.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>ok</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>No addition required</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Ok</b>	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript "Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Indigenous Upland Communities: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis" demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive methodological approach and thorough data analysis. It employs a relativist perceptual framework, which is well-suited to understanding the nuanced and culturally specific impacts of the pandemic on these communities. The authors utilize qualitative methods, such as interviews and ethnographic observation, ensuring in-depth and contextually rich data. Additionally, the manuscript includes a diverse range of indigenous voices, thereby enhancing the validity and reliability of its findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> -	<b>Sufficient</b>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript "Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Indigenous Upland Communities: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis" needs attention to grammar and spelling to enhance its overall quality. Ensuring correct grammar and spelling will improve readability and comprehension, making the findings more accessible to a wider audience. Careful proofreading and editing are recommended to address these issues and strengthen the manuscript's presentation.	
Optional/General comments	ok	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sabu VU
Department, University & Country	ISBM, India