

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_120927
Title of the Manuscript:	Research Progress on the Mechanical Properties and Frost Resistance of Ultrafine Fly Ash Concrete
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript content is important to the scientific research community. The contents of the manuscript are related to the research field in the engineering domain.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for the manuscript content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is sufficient for the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript scientifically acceptable correct. There are many references are recited in the manuscript that show the research was essential domain. The manuscript followed the correct standard format of research writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references for the manuscript are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is acceptable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript figure of the graph x-axis and y-axis are not in English.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Soyapi Bin Mohd Yusoff
Department, University & Country	Institute of Visual Informatics, The National University of Malaysia, Malaysia