

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_120675
Title of the Manuscript:	The state-of-the-art review on wind and photovoltaic solar hybrid renewable energy and its impending potential in Eastern and Southern Africa
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive review of hybrid energy system technological developments, highlighting advancements in both China and Africa. The comparative analysis of policies and technological innovations offers valuable insights for researchers and policymakers aiming to enhance renewable energy adoption. I appreciate the detailed case studies and the emphasis on practical applications, which illustrate the real-world impact of hybrid systems.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus on the state-of-the-art hybrid energy system technological development.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, but it could benefit from the addition of more specific details about the key findings and comparisons between different regions. Including brief mentions of case studies or specific technological innovations would enhance the abstract's completeness.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. They logically progress from general technological developments to specific case studies and comparative policy analysis.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it systematically reviews current technological advancements and policy frameworks in hybrid energy systems. The use of data and case studies from multiple regions ensures a well-rounded analysis. The comparative approach between China and Africa provides a clear understanding of different strategies and their outcomes, making the manuscript valuable for informing future research and policy decisions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are generally sufficient but authors should consider adding more recent works as most of the references were old.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include specific examples of recent technological advancements in hybrid systems. Mentioning cutting-edge technologies or breakthrough innovations would add depth to this section. 2. Provide a more detailed analysis of policy uncertainties and their impact on hybrid energy systems. Discuss specific policies that have been successful or unsuccessful in different regions. 3. Discuss the impact of specific policies and incentives on the adoption and development of renewable energy in African countries. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habib Muhammad Usman
Department, University & Country	Mewar University, Nigeria