

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121442
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Various Weed Control Methods on Weed Density, Weed Control Efficiency, and Seed Yield in Lentil (<i>Lens culinaris</i> Medik L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A good attempt was made by the author for lentil crop cultivation and to get a good harvest, which is favourable in the future for the farmers in India. The author tried to get rid of the significant challenges, with weed infestation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's good.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Weeds are a major constraint in lentil cultivation worldwide, capable of reducing yields by up to 80% was mentioned in the MS and the results he found with 40 DAS with combinations of chemicals also reported good yields. These methods or studies will be favorable for marginal farmers in India	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient, some recent and more related references should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is fair but can be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rashmi Joshi Sawalkar
Department, University & Country	Shri RLT College of Science, India