

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_121103
Title of the Manuscript:	HIV DIAGNOSTIC ALGORITHMS IN A RESOURCE-LIMITED SETTING: A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is quite relevant but must be modified and presented in a brief form for publication as it is too lengthy. Scientific writing for publication must be crisp and only relevant information must be provided.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is appropriately written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Study site name is sufficient and description is unnecessary. In Results Table 7 and data provided thereafter lacks clarity In Discussion the author has deviated from the primary objective of comparing the algorithms. There was no objective as to determine co infection with HIV 1 and 2	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it tries to find alternatives to current testing algorithms in use.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		
	The manuscript seems to present an entire thesis which is not acceptable as it should only focus on primary objective providing relevant details only.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neha Syal
Department, University & Country	Gian Sagar Medical College & Hospital, India