

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_121393
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SCENARIO OF BEE-STINGING ACCIDENTS IN BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The idea of manuscript is important as it discover a very critical issue which is dangerous and need an action	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the title is not suitable nor informative (as word epidemiology is not suitable for this study and the type of study should be mentioned) It could be modified to: Incidence of Bee Sting in 6 District in Brazil: Retrospective study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I don't know if the format of manuscript is matched with journal instructions (as spacing is single not 1.5 line) There is nothing about statistical analysis	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In methods the authors didn't mention how these cases were diagnosed and how the severity of manifestations was classified, the time from sting to occurrence of death, they just grouped the cases according to 5 parameters. The use of word accident is not correct. Why is the age not included? Fig 5 is not clear how could be the deceased more than the cases? Authors could add table 1 contains demographic data about participants eg age, sex, district, occupation, Then time of arrival to hospital after sting, length of stay in hospital, outcome (discharge, death, any complications), cause of death. No test of significance for any results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Too much references need to be shorten	
Minor REVISION comments	The language and paraphrasing need revision	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Doaa Abdel Wahab El Morsi
Department, University & Country	Mansoura University, Delta University for Science and Technology, Egypt