

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120866
Title of the Manuscript:	Complete mechanization of oil seed crop cultivation for enhancement to augment the potentiality of yellow revolution in India-Review
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It is useful for the readers as they gain knowledge on mechanization in oil seed crops.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is poor in quality and English. Author must follow journal guidelines. Many references are missed in the manuscript 2. For every oil seed crop, author has to provide a flow chart of field operations and complete details of mechanization along with photographs of each operation. 3. The manuscript looks like a rough copy written and no corrected atleast once. 4. There is no link between one paragraph to the next. It is very difficult to understand what author is trying to explain. 5. Serious major revision is required for accepting this article otherwise publishing this manuscript has no meaning 6. The manuscript is not in journal format 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>It is fine</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes but author needs include more details of each machine used in the each crop. 2. Also, it is suggested to write every machine developed till now year wise. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is not fit for publishing without major revision 2. It is not technically sound 3. Very basic information is presented in the manuscript 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Fine but need to include many</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Quality of english is poor.2. As a reader it is difficult to understand.3. It is suggested to use simple English for better understanding.	
Optional/General comments	Everything is mentioned in main manuscript comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Sivamma
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Institute of Seed Science, India