

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	InternationalJournalof EnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_121224
TitleoftheManuscript:	INFLUENCEOF EDAPHICFACTORSON SULFURCONTENTINCalophylluminophyllumL.BIODIESEL
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback (Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
<p>Pleasewritea fewsentencesregardingtheimportance ofthismanuscriptfor thescientificcommunity.Whydo (ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">youlike 3-4</p>	<p>Thestudycontributes valuabledataonthesulfurcontentofbiodieselfromCalophylluminophyllumL., particularlywithsoilsulfurcontent.Thisaddstotheknowledgeofbiodieselqualitycontrol,especiallyfornon-edibleoilsources.However,thenoveltycouldbestrengthened bycomparingthefindingswithothernon-edible feedstocksorexploringtheenvironmentalimplicationsin greaterdetail.</p> <p>Specificimprovementstobedonetothemanuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HighlightUniqueContributions:Emphasizehowyourworkdiffersfrompreviousstudies.Ifthereare fewstudiesfocusingonspecificallyonthesulfurcontentinCalophyllumbiodiesel,thisshouldbe highlightedasa uniqueaspectof yourresearch. BroaderContext:Considerdiscussingthebroaderimplicationsofyourfindings,suchastheimpacton biodieselregulation,environmentalimpact,andenergysustainability. 	<p>Valuable suggestion. I have made the changes. Kindly refer the Abstract (page no. 1)</p>
<p>Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p>	<p>Themanuscript'stitleisappropriateand descriptive.Itaccuratelyreflectsthestudy'sfocusonunderstandinghow soilproperties(edaphicfactors)affectthesulfurcontentin biodieselderivedfromCalophylluminophyllumL.</p> <p>However,kindlyconsiderthefollowingsuggestionsforimprovement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarity:Ensurethattheterm"edaphicfactors"isclearothetargetaudience.Iftheaudiencemightbe unfamiliarwiththisterm,consideraddinga briefexplanationintheintroduction. Specificity:Ifthestudyfocusesonspecifiededaphicfactors(e.g.,soilsulfurcontent),specifyingthesein thetitlecouldenhanceclarity.Forexample,"InfluenceofSoilSulfurContentandOtherEdaphicFactors onSulfurLevelsinCalophylluminophyllumL. Biodiesel." Relevance: Thetitleshould directly communicate the study's relevance to the field of biodiesel production.Includingtermslike"quality"or"composition"mightmake therelevanceclearer. <p>Overall,thecurrenttitleissuitable,buttheseadjustmentscouldhelpinmakingitimorepreciseandinformative.</p>	<p>Valuable suggestion. I have made the changes.</p>
		<p>I'm glad to see the positive impact.</p>

ReviewForm3

		Valuable suggestion. I have updated some of the recent references. Kindly refer page no. 3, Chapter 1.1 and page no. 5, chapter 2.3.3.
--	--	---

ReviewForm3

<p>Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyousuggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthissection?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.</p>	<p>Summary:Theabstractprovidesabriefoverview ofthestudy'saims,methodology, results,andconclusions.It highlights theinvestigation of sulfurcontentinCalophyllumbiodieselconcerningsoil sulfurcontentacross differentregionsinSouthernKarnataka.</p> <p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearidentificationof studyareasandthe purposeoftheresearch. • Mentionofmethodologiesused,suchasICP-OESandturbidimetry. <p>AreasforImprovement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Theabstractcouldbenefit fromamorespecificdescription ofthefindings, particularlythecomparative analysisof sulfurcontentindifferentregions. • Quantitative data(e.g.,sulfurcontentlevels)shouldbepresentedtogiveaclearerpictureofthestudy's findings. • Theconclusioncouldbemoreconcise,focusingonthemaintakeawayratherthanmentioningtheneed forfurtherresearch. <p>Specificimprovementstobedonetothemanuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ObjectiveStatement:Beginwithaclearstatementoftheresearchobjective.Forexample,"Thisstudy investigates the sulfurcontentinbiodieselproducedfromCalophylluminophyllumL.seedscollected fromvariousregionsinSouthernKarnataka." • MethodsBrief:Specifytheprimaryanalyticaltechniquesused.Forexample,"Sulfurcontentwas analysedusingInductively CoupledPlasmaOpticalEmissionSpectroscopy (ICP-OES)andturbidimetry." • Quantitative Results: Include specific quantitative results. For example, "The sulfur content in biodieselrangedfromX ppmtoYppmacrossthe studiedregions." 	
<p>Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Themanuscriptisstructuredintothe followingsections:Abstract,Introduction,MaterialsandMethods, ResultsandDiscussion,andConclusion.Thisstructurealignswellwithstandardscientificreportingformats.</p> <p>SuggestionsforImprovement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract: Theabstractshouldsuccinctlysummarisethebackground,methodology,keyfindings,and implications.Itshouldalsoincludea clearstatementofthestudy'snovelty. • Introduction: Theintroductionshouldprovideastrongrationale forthestudy,including therelevance of sulfurcontentinbiodieselanditsimpactonfuelqualityandenvironmentalconcerns. • MaterialsandMethods: Clearlydefinetheproceduresandmaterialsused.Ensurethatallsub sections arelogicallyorganisedanddetailedenoughtoallow studyreplication. • ResultsandDiscussion: Theresultsshouldbepresentedclearly,withappropriateuseoftablesand figures.Thediscussionshouldinterprettheresultsinthecontextofexistingliterature,highlighting the study'scontributionsandlimitations. • Conclusion: Theconclusion shouldsuccinctlysummarisethemainfindingsandtheir 	
<p>Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingthescientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnically sound?Aminimumof 3-4sentencesmayberequired forthispart.</p>	<p>The manuscriptdemonstrates scientific correctness and technical soundness through its comprehensive approachto studyingthe sulfur contentin biodiesel from CalophylluminophyllumL. It employswell-establishedanalyticaltechniques,suchasInductively CoupledPlasmaOpticalEmissionSpectroscopy (ICP-OES)andturbidimetry,ensuringaccurateandreliablemeasurements of sulfurcontent.Thestudy'sdesign, includingtheselectionofdiversegeographicallocationsandtheconsiderationofvarioussoilproperties,adds totherobustnessofthefindings.Furthermore,theanalysisis backedbyadequatestatisticalmethods,providing athoroughinterpretation ofthedataanditsimplications forbiodieselqualityandproduction.Overall,the</p>	
<p>Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?If youhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasemention theminthereviewform.</p>	<p>Thereferences inthemanuscript areamixofolderandmorerecentsources.While somereferences dateback severalyears,theystillprovidefoundational information relevanttothestudy.However,includingmorerecent referencescould strengthen the manuscriptbyaligningitwiththelatestadvancementsinthe field.Hereareafew suggestionsforadditionalreferences:</p>	

[ReviewForm3](#)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Recent studies on the characterisation of biodiesel, particularly those focusing on the sulfur content and its environmental impact.• Current methodologies for sulfur content analysis in biodiesel and comparisons with the methods used in this study.• Updated research on the influence of edaphic factors on biodiesel quality, specifically regarding non-edible oil sources like *Calophyllum inophyllum*. <p>These additions would provide a more comprehensive overview of the current state of research in the field and highlight the study's relevance in contemporary biodiesel production.</p>	
--	---	--

ReviewForm3

<p><u>Minor</u>REVISIONcomments</p> <p>Isthe language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a good level of scientific writing, with clear and precise language. However, there are areas where improvements can be made:</p> <p>Grammar and Tenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure consistent use of tenses, especially in the description of methods (past tense) and results (past tense) versus discussion (present tense for ongoing implications). • Correct any grammatical errors and improve sentence structure for clarity and conciseness. <p>Sentence Structure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid overly complex sentences. Break them into shorter, clearer statements where necessary. • Ensure that each paragraph contains a single main idea and flows logically to the next. <p>General Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proofreading: A thorough proofreading to correct minor grammatical errors, spelling mistakes, and inconsistencies in terminology is recommended. • Style Consistency: Ensure consistent use of scientific terminology and units of measurement. • Clarity and Conciseness: Aim for concise language, especially in the abstract and conclusion, to effectively communicate the key points. 	<p>Valuable suggestion. I have made the changes.</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p>1.</p> <p>Summary: The introduction contextualises the study within India's broader energy demand and fossil fuel dependency issues. It introduces <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. as a potential feedstock for biodiesel and discusses the relevance of sulfur content analysis.</p> <p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-structured background information on the energy landscape and the significance of biodiesel. • The justification for choosing <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. is based on its advantages and potential as a biodiesel source. <p>Areas for Improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The introduction could include a more precise statement of the study's objectives and research questions. • More recent references could be added to support claims about the benefits of <i>Calophyllum</i> biodiesel. • A brief overview of previous research on sulfur content in biodiesel would provide a better context. <p>Specific improvements to be done to the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear Research Gap: Clearly identify the gap in the existing literature. For instance, "While biodiesel from <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. has been explored for its high oil content, the impact of regional soil sulfur content on the sulfur levels in biodiesel remains underexplored." • Recent References: Update the introduction with the most recent studies on biodiesel production, sulfur content, and non-edible feedstocks. • Objectives and Hypotheses: Clearly state the study's objectives and any hypotheses. For example, "This study aims to evaluate the sulfur content in <i>Calophyllum</i> biodiesel and determine the correlation between soil sulfur content and the sulfur levels in the final product." <p>2.</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <p>Summary: This section describes the study area, the processes used for oil extraction and biodiesel production, and the methods for analysing sulfur content.</p> <p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed description of the study area, including geographic and climatic information. • A comprehensive explanation of the 	<p>Valuable suggestion. I have made the changes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction - Page no. 3, chapter 1.3 2. Materials and Method - Page no. 5 and 6 3. Result and Discussion - Page no. 9, chapter 3.3 <p>Conclusion - page no. 12, Chapter 4</p>

Specific improvements to be done to the manuscript:

- **Study Area Description:** While geographic and climatic details are included, consider summarising them and focusing more on their relevance to the study (e.g., variations in sulfur content in soil).
- **Methodological Clarity:** Simplify the methodology by highlighting critical steps. For example, "Oil extraction was performed using the Soxhlet method, followed by transesterification using sodium methoxide."
- **Statistical Analysis:** Clearly outline the statistical methods used for analysing the data, such as correlation analysis, regression models, or ANOVA, to show how data reliability and significance were determined.
- **Visual Aids:** Consider adding a flowchart or diagram of the methodology to enhance reader comprehension.

3. Results and Discussion

Summary: The results section presents data on sulfur content in soil, oil, and biodiesel across different regions. The discussion interprets these findings in the context of regional differences and edaphic factors.

Strengths:

- The data is well-organised, and the tables are informative.
- The discussion logically interprets the results, linking them to environmental factors.

Areas for Improvement:

- The presentation of results could be enhanced with more visual aids, such as graphs or charts.
- There should be a more apparent distinction between the presentation of results and their discussion.
- The discussion could delve deeper into the implications of the findings for the biodiesel industry and environmental policy.

Specific improvements to be done to the manuscript:

- **Results Presentation:** Separate the results and discussion more clearly. First, straightforwardly present the findings, then discuss their implications and context.
- **Visual Data Representation:** Include graphs or charts to visually represent data trends, such as sulfur content variations across different regions.
- **In-depth Discussion:** Provide a deeper analysis of the results. For example, discuss why certain regions have higher sulfur content and how soil characteristics or regional environmental factors might contribute.
- **Comparative Analysis:** If available, compare your findings with existing literature on similar studies. This helps contextualise the results within the broader scientific discussion.

5. Conclusion

Summary: The conclusion reiterates the potential of Calophyllum as a biodiesel feedstock and the influence of sulfur content on biodiesel quality. It suggests the need for further research to refine purification processes.

Strengths:

- The conclusion effectively summarises the essential findings and their implications.

Areas for Improvement:

- The conclusion could be more focused, avoiding the repetition of earlier sections.
- Recommendations for future research should be more specific, possibly suggesting methodologies or areas of focus.

Specific improvements to be done to the manuscript:

- **Concise Summary:** Summarise the key findings in a few sentences. Avoid reiterating detailed results; focus on the study's main contributions.
- **Specific Recommendations:** Offer recommendations for future research, such as "Further studies should explore purification methods to reduce sulfur content in biodiesel to meet international standards."

ReviewForm3

- Practical Implications: Discuss the practical implications of your findings for biodiesel production and policy, e.g., "The regional variability in sulfur contents suggests the need for localised quality control measures in biodiesel production."

ReviewForm3
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue in the manuscript.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hoo Peng Yong
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia