

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	InternationalJournalof EnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_121224
Titleof theManuscript:	INFLUENCEOF EDAPHICFACTORSON SULFURCONTENTINCalophylluminophyllumL.BIODIESEL
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingtheimportance ofthismanuscriptfor thescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4 sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.	Thismanuscriptishighlyimportantforthescientificcommunityasit addressesa crucialaspectof biodieselproduction- theimpactof soilcharacteristicsonthequalityofthefinalproduct. Understandingtherelationshipbetweenedaphicfactorsandsulfurcontentinbiodieseliscru cial foroptimizingtheproductionprocessandensuringcompliancewithindustrystandards.The findingsofthisstudycanhelpresearchersandbiofuelproducerstodevelopstrategiesfor	
Isthethetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes.Thetitleofthe manuscriptisappropriateandaccuratelyreflectsthecontentofthestudy.	
Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyou suggesttheadition(ordeletion)of somepointsinthis section?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.	Yes.Theabstractiscomprehensive,providinga clearoverviewoftheaims,methodology,results, andconclusionsofthe research.	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	The manuscriptiswell-structured,withclearandlogicalorganizationofthesections.The subsectionsareappropriateandhelpintheeffectivepresentationoftheresearchfindings.	
Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingthescientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinktha t thismanuscriptisscientificalllyrobustandtechnica	Thescientificcontentofthemanuscriptistechnicallysoundandrobust.Theauthorshave employedappropriatemethodologies,includingkerneloil extraction,biodieselproductio n,and sulfurcontentanalysis,toaddresstheresearchobjectives.Thedataanalysisandinterpreta tion	
Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?If youhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasemention theminthereviewform. -	Thereferencesprovidedinthe manuscriptaresufficientandrecent.Theauthorhascitedrelevant andup-to-datestudiesonthetopicofCalophyllumbiodieselproductionandtheinfluenceof edaphicfactorsonitsquality.Someadditionalrecentreferencesthatcouldfurtherstrengthen the manuscriptare: 1. Sanjid,A.;Masjuki,H.H.;Kalam,M.A.;Rahman,S.A.;Abedin,M. J.;Palash,S.M.Impact ofpalm,mustard,wastecookingoilandCalophylluminophyllumbiofuelsonperformanceand emissionofClengine.RenewableSustainableEnergyRev.2014,27,664–682. 2. Bajia,S.C.;Singh,R.J.;Bajia,B.;Kumar,S.Determinationof sulfurcontentinpetroleum products–anoverview.J. SulfurChem.2017,38(4),450–464. 3. Pan,Y.P.;Wang,Y.S.;Tang,G. Q.;Wu,D.Spatialdistributionandtemporalvariationsof atmosphericsulfurdepositioninNorthernChina:insightsintothepotentialacidificationris ks. Atmos.Chem.Phys.2013,13(3),1675–1688. 4Theseadditionalreferencesprovide morerecentinsightsintothesulfurcontentinbiodiesel,its implications,andtheinfluenceofedaphicfactorsonthequalityofCalophyllumbiodiesel,which wouldfurtherstrengthen the manuscript.	

ReviewForm3

<p><u>Minor</u>REVISIONcomments</p> <p>Isthe language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear, concise, and easy to follow.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents a comprehensive study on the influence of edaphic factors on the sulfur content in <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. biodiesel. The research is scientifically robust and technically sound, making a valuable contribution to the field of biofuel production and quality assessment. Below are the <u>strengths</u> and <u>weaknesses</u> of the research:</p> <p><u>A. Strengths:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Relevance and Importance: The manuscript addresses a crucial aspect of biodiesel production, namely the influence of edaphic factors on the sulfur content of <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. biodiesel. This is an important topic as sulfur content is a key parameter in determining the quality and performance of biodiesel. 2. Comprehensive Methodology: The authors have employed a well-designed experimental approach, including the analysis of kernel oil content, biodiesel production, and detailed assessment of sulfur content in soil, oil, and biodiesel samples. The use of standardized analytical techniques, such as ICP-OES and turbidimetry, ensures the reliability of the data. 3. Thorough Data Analysis and Interpretation: The authors have presented the results in a clear and organized manner, providing detailed statistical analysis and making meaningful comparisons between the different study locations. The discussion section effectively links the findings to the existing literature and provides insights into the potential reasons for the observed variations in sulfur content. 4. Practical Implications: The study has direct implications for the optimization of <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. biodiesel production and quality control. The insights gained from this research can help biofuel producers and researchers develop strategies to mitigate the impact of edaphic factors on sulfur content and improve the overall quality of the final product. <p><u>B. Weaknesses:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limited Geographical Scope: The study was conducted only in the southern districts of Karnataka, India. While this provides valuable information for the specific region, expanding the geographical scope to include other major <i>Calophyllum inophyllum</i> L. cultivation areas would enhance the generalizability of the findings. 2. Lack of Detailed Soil Characterization: The manuscript provides limited information on the specific soil properties (e.g., pH, organic matter content, cation exchange capacity) that may have influenced the sulfur content. A more comprehensive analysis of the soil characteristics could strengthen the understanding of the underlying mechanisms. 3. Potential for Further Optimization: The manuscript focuses on the influence of edaphic factors 	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adel Ahmed Mohammed Saeed
Department, University & Country	University of Aden, Yemen