

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_116204
Title of the Manuscript:	Inventorization of Spring Water Resources in mid hills of Himachal Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The research work done is important in terms of documenting the spring water sources in mid hill region of H. P. and to study the climate change impacts.</p> <p>2. Many formatting and grammatical errors are to be addressed. e.g. 'The' as an article is mainly used before the names of rivers, gulfs, seas, groups of islands and mountain ranges as well. In, 2.2 Collection of Data for Inventory, spelling of Municipal Cooperation Office, it should be Municipal Corporation Office.</p> <p>3. All key words should either start with capital letters or all should small except the first one, So, follow similar pattern.</p> <p>4. Material and methods have given sr. no. 1 where as its subheads are 2.1, 2.2 etc. – Not correct.</p> <p>5. Either introduction should be given Sr. No. 1 and then 2 for Material and methods then 2.2 and 2.2 etc. will be ok. Like wise corrections are needed in whole manuscript.</p> <p>6. The discussion given is too lean and most of the points given in conclusion part can be shifted to discussion part and conclusion should be made brief, refined and To the point.</p> <p>7. Any updated references are also should be added.</p> <p>Overall the manuscript can be considered after corrections.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghendra Singh
Department, University & Country	ICAR, India