

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_121085
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of heavy metals and physico-chemical parameters of sewage water, soil in different blocks jaipur district of rajasthan india
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Title seems important for pollution source identification and soil & water quality assessment but the significance is not explained properly for environmental health assessment .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is abrupt and not connected it could be written like "Characterization of Sewage Water and Soil: Heavy Metal and Physico-Chemical Profiling in Jaipur District, Rajasthan"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract fine	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No subsections in the paper	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author specifies the geographic area (Jaipur District, Rajasthan), which is crucial for contextualizing the study within a specific environmental and socio-economic setting.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No More (8-10)and latest references should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Sentences are not framed properly and spellings need to be corrected : Neighbouring, tables captions need corrections, causes, grey etc.	
Optional/General comments	Methods/Processes adopted for analysis not given in the manuscript. If Water and soil samples were analysed why there is only one table of parameter results. Confusing and not clear. Results should be clearly mentioned in separate tables for different samples analysed for different parameters. Health impacts of heavy metals analysed were not discussed rather other metals impacts were mentioned" Hg, Cd, and Pb is serious growing problem throughout the world"	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priti Gupta
Department, University & Country	Manav Rachna University, India