

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_120515
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE NUTRITIONAL PROFILES OF WILD AND POND REARED CATFISH (<i>Clariasgariepinus</i>) in Obubra LGA, Cross River State.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Thank you for the opportunity of reviewing this manuscript. By summarising, I see to it that the author is specific and the manuscript is totally contributing towards scientific community as it shows how nutritional profile changes according to pond raised and wild range fish samples of African Catfish. And also how one can fulfil the upcoming nutritional demands.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and comprehensive.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprised and also all content is placed appropriately.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is approved but according to the comment changes needs to be made.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No comment	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, one can understand easily and is very specific.	
Optional/General comments	See the attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaikh Ummae Umaraha
Department, University & Country	Mumbai University, India