

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120441</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE REVIEWED UGANDA LOWER SECONDARY CURRICULUM: THE TEACHERS' PERSPECTIVE</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study has reviewed and presented perspectives of curriculum implementers on the curriculum that will be of utmost importance as it provides guidance and a point of reflection to policymakers and curriculum designers. The findings also gave an opportunity to teachers to reflect on their practice of curriculum delivery as they participated in the survey.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I found the title suitable to the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive providing all the required facets within 215 words. It looks good as it is.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The author followed scientific process well and ideas flow well from beginning to the end. It is well structured. I suggest adding well defined objectives and research questions that will give better clarity to readers.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I found the paper to be scientifically robust written in accurate language. Citations are technically correct, I could not locate single error in citations, there are minimal grammatical errors that do not alter the meaning of the sentence. Over all the writer has high command over the language and technicity of academic writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Good number of papers are referred to support the research. I found it sufficient for the study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writer has a high command over language, its written appropriately, academically and has minimal grammatical errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the paper reads well still, I suggest including a distinct objectives of the studies and guiding research questions that will direct readers what to expect from the paper.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Deepak Raj Chetri
Department, University & Country	School of Education, Edith Cowan University, Australia