

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_121012
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate citizenship and wealth maximisation: a Re-evaluation of Stakeholder Theory Using Evidence from listed industrial goods firms in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very important I am very interested with the manuscript because it will contribute to a scientific study in Management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. it needs some modification. ➤ Starting from abstract it was very short and missed some components of the research like objectives, research approach, base of sampling and the constituted sample. ➤	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Statement of the problem part was too short to show the research gap. So better to add empirical studies which makes this study different from previous studies. ➤ There are no general and specific objectives the researcher aimed to achieve ➤ There is no identified Dimensions of corporate citizenship to show conceptual framework for the study ➤ It is better to identify independent and dependent variables to see the relationship between variables ➤ In the analysis part the researcher is better to test in addition to heteroscedastic test ✓ Multicollinearity ✓ Linearity ✓ Normality ✓ Autocorrelation tests 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The number is sufficient but Reference should be written in alphabetic order of sequence and it is not required to numbering. Referencing should follow some referencing style for instance American Psychological Association (APA) referencing style.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is advisable to place Conclusion and recommendation in independent section. Recommendation is suggested to be specific.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Feyisa Debisa Mamo
Department, University & Country	Hope Enterprise University College, Ethiopia