

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_120734
Title of the Manuscript:	Attribution style as correlates of secondary school students' academic achievement in Biology in Onitsha Education Zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I like this study because of the subject matter that the researcher has chosen. Academic achievement is now the top priority for parents, educational institutions, planning, and country's educational policies. In this context, the study has significant importance for the scientific community. This study took burning attributes as variables, which is why the paper has significant importance. The study will be more effective if the researcher also focuses on factors such as locus of control, self-concept, interest, and aptitudes, as these factors have a significant impact on students' academic achievement.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is ok. But I suggest an alternative title: "Is attribution style essential for students' academic achievement in Biology? An empirical study on secondary school students of Onitsha Education Zone"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>It is comprehensive for the paper. However, there was no need for the point of the study design and place and duration of the study as both are the parts of methodology. The points 'aims' can be replaced by Background of the study which is bolder.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The following subsections is more attractive instead of the present appearance:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction 2. Significance 3. Objectives/ Research questions 4. Hypothesis 5. Methodology 6. Result and Discussion 7. Findings/ Recommendation/ Conclusion 8. References 	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The sample size of this study is quite large (4241) and also used sampling technique is considerable. The researcher used standardized questionnaires for data collection. Used techniques for analysing data and interpreting are also good enough to correlate and distinguishing the variables. Methodologically the study is well sound.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>20% references of this article are older. It should be more reliable if the references are taken within 10 years from the date of submission of this article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researcher used the term self-esteem in the abstract as independent variable. But in result and discussion researcher did not mention the term self-esteem. The paper will be more attractive if researcher provides some recommendation and some discussion on conclusion.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tapas Barman
Department, University & Country	Tufanganj College of Education, India