

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120727
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pineapple Production and Its Marketing Channels in Bangladesh: Present Status, Prospects and Challenges</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The strength of this article lies in its examination of pineapple production and marketing channels within Bangladesh, a crucial issue raised effectively by the authors. As highlighted in the introduction, pineapple is a leading commodity in the study area, underscoring the significance of understanding production and marketing dynamics. This discussion is vital as these channels have a direct impact on the marketing process, farmer income, and welfare, ultimately influencing the national economy.</p> <p>However, a critical concern is the methodology employed in the review, which lacks comprehensive detail in the methods section. Specifically, there is insufficient information regarding the number of articles reviewed and the source databases utilized for gathering the reviewed literature. This omission hinders the reproducibility and transparency of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article does cover a range of important points, but it shifts focus between different points without clear transitions, making it feel somewhat disjointed. It does not clearly outline the research methodology or specific findings of the study and lacks a concise statement of the research objectives or questions.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It presents a comprehensive review of existing literature, drawing from a wide range of sources over the past fifteen years, which ensures that the information is current and well-rounded. The introduction provides a thorough background on pineapple cultivation, highlighting its economic significance and nutritional benefits, supported by numerous citations.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	

## Review Form 3

<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communications, but there are areas that could be improved for clarity, coherence, and flow. Here are a few observations and suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grammar and Sentence Structure: Some sentences are long and complex, which can make them difficult to read. Breaking them into shorter, simpler sentences could enhance readability. For instance: "It has a great flavor, high nutritional content, and an extremely pleasant texture." (Original), it can be improved: "Pineapple has a great flavor, high nutritional content, and a pleasant texture."</li> <li>2. Consistency and Redundancy: Ensure consistent terminology and avoid redundancy. For example, the term "Bromeliaceae family" is introduced early and could be referenced consistently instead of reintroducing "a member of the Bromeliaceae family."</li> <li>3. Flow and Transition: Improve the flow between sections and ideas. For example, the transition between discussing the nutritional benefits of pineapple and its commercial importance could be smoother.</li> <li>4. Precision and Clarity: Some phrases could be more precise. For example, instead of saying "it ranks as the third most popular fruit consumed globally," specify the source or the metric used to determine this ranking.</li> <li>5. Technical Terms and Citations: Ensure that technical terms are used correctly and citations are consistently formatted. For example, "the enzyme 'bromelin'" should be corrected to "the enzyme 'bromelain'".</li> </ol>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The discussion in each sub-section of the results and discussion section requires enrichment. Review articles must critically engage with the findings of previous studies and contextualize them within the current study's framework. The discussion of the results should be more in-depth. The article should compare the findings with previous research, discuss implications, and suggest practical applications or recommendations for stakeholders. The manuscript mentions challenges faced by pineapple farmers but does not provide an in-depth analysis or potential solutions to overcome these challenges. A more detailed discussion on how to overcome these challenges would be beneficial. Furthermore, the conclusions drawn from the study should be clear, concise, and directly linked to the findings. The current conclusion appears to be a summary rather than a synthesis of the insights gained from the research.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Aimar Ridel Saviola Timban</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Sam Ratulangi University, Indonesia</b></p>