

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121296
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic analysis for popping traits in tropical inbred lines of popcorn (<i>Zea mays</i> var. <i>everta</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The most well-known specialty corn, popcorn, contributes significantly to the Indian and worldwide economy. Popcorn's limited genetic basis hinders breeding development despite field corn's perfect discovery of heterosis, making it heavily dependent on imports. Genetic analysis for popping traits for the aforementioned could be a significant source of desired crop development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As a whole it is ok. However, the duration of the study was mentioned yearly, which can be more specific.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. It's ok.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Statistical operations are performed very scientifically and well-interpreted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. It's ok up to the time.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes. It's ok.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chhan Kumar Kalita
Department, University & Country	Mizoram University, India