

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_120041
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of climate change on normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) in the Al-Huwaizh marsh
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i></p>	<p>The manuscript doesn't much important for scientific community for the following reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Study period (20 years) is not enough for studying the Climate Change (CC) impacts on an area. ii. The author himself inferred that the marshes in Iraq undergone anthropogenic activities (prolonged war like situations) in the country; his tests could also not proved the impact of CC. iii. The study case is very generalized and is easily available on websites like Wikipedia. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>As per study results the title is not suitable, one title is suggested in the review comments other could be "A case study of Al-Hawizeh Marsh using NDVI-a remote sensing tool."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p>	<p>Its just adequate.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, but some recommendations should also be added for further studies.</p>	
<p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i></p>	<p>As far as the test applied like Mann-Kendall test and use of NDVI, its ok. Yet for proving the use of remote sensing for studying an area more tests are available and could be used to support the NDVI results. NDVI results have also not been correlated with the ground truth.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>A total of 19 references have been used, most of which are recent (5-8 years older).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are many spelling and grammar mistakes in the English language used; almost all are mentioned in the reviewer comments.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is a good effort of the author (who may be a BS level student). The general facts about the Al-Hawizeh Marsh have been tried to be proved scientifically. This may be published after minor changes, made under the guidelines given the reviewer comments.</p>	

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghazala Qaiser
Department, University & Country	Pakistan