

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_120723
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic advantage of Sorghum based Intercropping system for Western zone of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The authors conducted a study that is relevant in the scientific community. The research is aligning with SDGs The crop chosen of high value in proffering solution to the future food security alert Cropping system evaluation with profitability demonstration is key to ensuring acceptance and adoption and is adequately treated in this study</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title chosen is suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the abstract addressed the subject comprehensively</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is appropriately structured</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The Authors have contributed appreciably to the scientific community through this presentation. The experimental tools have proven the scientific soundness of this study Analytical tools used in this research is found to be of acceptability to the scientific community</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient and in one format as per journal guidelines.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the overall writing is clear and concise</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fadeyi Olasupo James
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria