

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_118472
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Contribution of Curricula Reforms on Graduates' Employability Across Various Educational Level in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript provides valuable insights into how curriculum reforms can impact the employability of graduates in Tanzania. This topic is significant as it addresses a pressing issue in educational policy and workforce development.</p> <p>The title is mostly suitable, but it can be more concise for clarity. Suggested alternative title: "Impact of Curriculum Reforms on Graduate Employability in Tanzania"</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the methodology, key findings, and recommendations effectively. However, it could benefit from a clearer mention of the study's scope and the specific educational levels examined.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is well-organized, with clear divisions between the introduction, literature review, methodology, results, and discussion. Each section logically progresses from one to the next, providing a coherent narrative.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. The use of a mixed-methods approach strengthens the validity of the findings, and the statistical analysis is appropriately applied. The discussion aligns well with the data presented, providing a balanced interpretation of the results.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and include both recent and relevant sources. However, some seminal works on curriculum reforms and employability could enhance the depth of the literature review. Suggested additional references include: - Yorke, M. (2006). <i>Employability in higher education: What it is – What it is not</i>. Learning and Employability Series. - Knight, P.T., & Yorke, M. (2003). <i>Employability and Good Learning in Higher Education</i>. <i>Teaching in Higher Education</i>, 8(1), 3-16.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are a few areas where improvements can be made to enhance clarity and readability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Punctuation and Grammar: Some sentences are missing necessary punctuation, such as commas, which makes them harder to read. For instance, "Adopting a mixed methods approach the study included 243 participants of whom 231 completed questionnaires12 took part in interviews" could be improved by adding commas and spacing: "Adopting a mixed methods approach, the study included 243 participants, of whom 231 completed questionnaires, 12 took part in interviews." ➤ Word Choice and Redundancy: There are instances of wordiness and redundancy that could be streamlined for better readability. For example, "Curricula reforms have been applied as a strategy to ensure that the knowledge skills and competencies of graduates remain relevant and effective" could be shortened to "Curricula reforms ensure that 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>graduates' knowledge, skills, and competencies remain relevant and effective."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Abstract: The abstract effectively summarizes the study but could benefit from clearer separation of ideas. Breaking it into shorter sentences could improve readability. ➤ Introduction: The introduction provides a solid background but could be more engaging by highlighting the study's significance earlier. It also needs better integration of references, as some citations are clumped together without clear context. ➤ Literature Review: The literature review is comprehensive but somewhat dense. Using subheadings to organize different themes or perspectives could make it more accessible. ➤ Methodology: The methodology section is clear and detailed. However, the presentation of quantitative data could be enhanced with tables or figures for better visualization. ➤ Results and Discussion: The results are well presented, but the discussion could benefit from more critical analysis. Comparing the findings with previous studies in a more structured way would add depth. ➤ Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the findings but could be more impactful by emphasizing the study's implications for policy and practice. <p>In summary, while the article is suitable for scholarly communication, attention to language precision, clarity, and structure will significantly enhance its quality.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>M. H. M. Majid</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>South Eastern University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka</p>