

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121127
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of Internal Supervision Model for Educational Fund Management at the First-Level Middle School in Mamuju Regency
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a detailed and systematic approach to enhancing the effectiveness of financial management in schools through internal supervision. The research highlights the importance of a democratic approach in decision-making, involving various stakeholders such as school principals, financial officers, and teachers, which promotes transparency and accountability. I appreciate this manuscript for its comprehensive methodology and practical implications for improving financial management in educational institutions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable with the content of research.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the paper generally meets the requirements of a scientific article.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is well-organized, starting with an introduction to the research problem and objectives, followed by a detailed explanation of the research methodology, including the design, participants, and procedures. The effectiveness test of the model is clearly outlined, providing a logical flow from the experimental design to the implementation and evaluation stages. Each section builds on the previous one, offering a coherent narrative that supports the research findings. The inclusion of theoretical foundations, practical applications, and evaluation criteria ensures that the manuscript is comprehensive and systematically addresses the research questions. This structured approach enhances the readability and scientific rigor of the study.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its systematic approach to developing and testing the internal supervision model. The use of Research and Development (R&D) methodology ensures a thorough process of product development and effectiveness testing. The experimental design, specifically the equivalent time series design, adds rigor to the research by providing a structured framework for measuring pre-test and post-test values over time. Furthermore, the incorporation of theoretical and practical knowledge, along with comprehensive, systematic, planned, and periodic evaluations, supports the scientific validity of the findings. The manuscript's detailed explanation of the research design and methodology demonstrates a high level of scientific correctness.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>Validate the research arguments with appropriate and up-to-date citations.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Strengths</p> <p>+ Comprehensive Framework: The manuscript provides a thorough framework for implementing internal supervision in the management of educational funds at the SMP level. It outlines a clear process from theoretical foundations to practical applications and evaluations.</p> <p>+ Methodological Rigor: The use of Research and Development (R&D) methodology, coupled with an experimental design (equivalent time series design), adds scientific rigor to the study. This approach ensures that the findings are based on systematic and repeatable processes.</p> <p>+ Focus on Democratic Decision-Making: The emphasis on involving various stakeholders (school principals, financial officers, teachers) in the decision-making process is commendable. This democratic approach can lead to greater transparency, accountability, and buy-in from all parties involved.</p> <p>+ Practical Implications: The manuscript provides practical tools and guidelines, such as the model book for internal supervision, which can be directly applied by school principals. This practical orientation enhances the relevance and applicability of the research.</p> <p>+ Holistic Evaluation: The manuscript includes a detailed plan for evaluating the effectiveness of the internal supervision model, including pre-test and post-test measurements and systematic, planned, and periodic evaluations.</p> <p>2. Areas for Improvement:</p> <p>+ Detailed Explanation of the Intervention Process: While the manuscript outlines the overall framework and methodology, it would benefit from a more detailed explanation of the specific intervention processes and activities conducted during the implementation of the internal supervision model.</p> <p>+ Broader Discussion of Challenges and Limitations: The manuscript could include a more comprehensive discussion of the potential challenges and limitations of implementing the internal supervision model in different contexts. This would provide a more balanced view and help in understanding the adaptability of the model.</p> <p>+ Ethical Considerations: Although no ethical issues are immediately apparent, it is important to include a section on ethical considerations, such as obtaining informed consent from participants, ensuring data confidentiality, and securing necessary approvals from ethical review boards.</p> <p>+ Competing Interests Declaration: To ensure transparency, the manuscript should include a formal declaration of any potential competing interests. This statement reassures readers of the objectivity and integrity of the research.</p> <p>+ Plagiarism Check: It would be prudent to run the manuscript through a plagiarism detection tool to ensure originality and proper citation of sources. This step is crucial for maintaining academic integrity.</p> <p>3. Conclusion</p>	

Review Form 3

	Overall, the manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the field of internal supervision in educational fund management. By addressing the areas for improvement, the manuscript can further strengthen its scientific rigor and practical relevance.	
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tran Thi Hanh Hiep
Department, University & Country	National Academy of Education Management, Vietnam