

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_120814</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE INFLUENCE OF ACADEMIC STRESS, LECTURER COMPETENCE, CAMPUS FACILITIES, AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT ON STUDENT CYBERLOAFING BEHAVIOR IN PURWOKERTO</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a unique one having many variables that can be look at	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Dear author i will like to suggest to you to look at this title' Here's a catchy and presentable title in 13 words:  "Exploring the Impact of Academia, Lecturers, and Campus Life on Student Cyberbullying in Purwokerto"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	This statement in abstract is not cler can you explained better what you mean ' This type of research is quantitative research. The sampling technique in this study used purposive sampling technique with the requirement university students in <b>Purwokerto that are classes 20, 21, and 22 and must bring gadgets during the class</b>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It was structured as it is clearer to researcher, also resaercher should use grammarly to heck some error	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It looks at combination of what has been done before, that makes it unique	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Author is advise to follow journal format of citing and referencing	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Author should use appropriate words use in the profession or research term to get clearer information to reader	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Stephen A. Fadare</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mindanao State University, Philippines</b>