

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_120814</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE INFLUENCE OF ACADEMIC STRESS, LECTURER COMPETENCE, CAMPUS FACILITIES, AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT ON STUDENT CYBERLOAFING BEHAVIOR IN PURWOKERTO</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>"THE INFLUENCE OF ACADEMIC STRESS, LECTURER COMPETENCE, CAMPUS FACILITIES, AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT ON STUDENT CYBERLOAFING BEHAVIOR IN PURWOKERTO" Title of this research new and innovative and need of the hour as well. The title highlights the study's comprehensive approach by examining multiple factors—academic stress, lecturer competence, campus facilities, and the learning environment— that may contribute to cyberloafing behaviour. This broad perspective can provide a deeper understanding of the issue.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>"THE INFLUENCE OF ACADEMIC STRESS, LECTURER COMPETENCE, CAMPUS FACILITIES, AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT ON STUDENT CYBERLOAFING BEHAVIOR IN PURWOKERTO" Title of the research article is fine and as per requirement.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the key elements of the study. It effectively summarizes the purpose, methodology, main findings, and conclusions, making it easy for readers to understand the scope and significance of the research.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Research methodology portion required attention, as should be added in detail. Research instrument details required with the other processes. I could not see recommendations too that should be added.</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript titled "The Influence of Academic Stress, Lecturer Competence, Campus Facilities, and Learning Environment on Student Cyberloafing Behavior in Purwokerto" is scientifically robust and technically sound. The study employs a rigorous methodology, including well-defined variables and appropriate statistical analyses, to investigate the relationships between multiple factors and student behavior. The sample size is adequate, and the data collection methods are systematically described, ensuring the reliability and validity of the findings. Additionally, the manuscript provides a thorough literature review, grounding the research in existing studies and demonstrating a clear understanding of the field, which further supports its scientific rigor.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Reference are not according to the APA style, that is used for the social sciences by most of the research journal. I suggest to the author please revisit the APA 7<sup>th</sup> edition and do accordingly, if this journal demand the APA style for referencing.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No Major revision required</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I suggest the author to please focus more on the academic writing techniques and the results interpretations. Results interpretation comparatively weaker area of this research paper as you have tried to mention number of items which may not be required in the interpretations.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Javed Aftab</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Education, Pakistan</b>