

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_120602</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spatial Econometrics in Agriculture: Modelling Spatial Dependencies in Data</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a good article in the form of review paper. Very well expressed what the authors aimed to convey. I like the paper and recommend it for publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, only formatting is the issue in the paper. It is not properly formatted.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since it is a review article kind of a paper which is sufficiently covering the methodological issues while spatial econometric models are applied to real life data, therefore, it may be mentioned as exhaustive in terms of review since it is reviewing 109 papers on the subject matter in existing literature. However, it is exempted from the question of being robust and technically sound on the same grounds that no new theoretical or practical problem has been dealt with in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Very well done efforts.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Richa Saini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Delhi, India</b>