

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_120602</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spatial Econometrics in Agriculture: Modelling Spatial Dependencies in Data</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the significant gap in understanding spatial dependencies in agricultural data. By employing spatial econometric models, the authors provide valuable insights into how geographic proximity and interactions influence agricultural outcomes. This knowledge is crucial for policymakers and practitioners aiming to optimize resource allocation, improve agricultural productivity, and promote sustainable practices. I appreciate the manuscript for its methodological rigor and the practical implications it offers for enhancing agricultural decision-making.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article, "Spatial Econometrics in Agriculture: Modelling Spatial Dependencies in Data," is suitable as it clearly reflects the content and scope of the manuscript. No alternative title is necessary.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive and well-written. However, I suggest adding a brief mention of specific applications or examples of spatial phenomena in agriculture to provide a clearer context of the practical implications discussed in the manuscript.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and well-organized. They facilitate a clear and logical flow of information, making the complex subject matter accessible to the reader.	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its rigorous application of spatial econometric methods. The authors employ advanced statistical techniques and georeferenced data to model spatial dependencies, ensuring the validity and reliability of their findings. The detailed methodological approach and comprehensive data analysis support the scientific correctness of the study, making it a valuable contribution to the field of agricultural economics.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and recent, covering a broad range of relevant literature. No additional references are needed at this time.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, a minor editorial review to correct any grammatical errors and improve readability would enhance the overall quality of the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript presents a significant contribution to the field of spatial econometrics in agriculture. The detailed exploration of spatial dependencies and their implications for agricultural practices and policies is commendable. The authors might consider providing additional real-world case studies to further illustrate the practical applications of their findings. Overall, this is a well-executed study with valuable insights for both researchers and practitioners in agricultural economics.</p> <p>The manuscript is solid and well-structured, but a minor revision is recommended to incorporate the suggestions mentioned.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Danna Lesley Cruz Reyes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Colegio Mayor Universidad del Rosario, Colombia</b>