

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_120828
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity and antibiotic susceptibility of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteria from the urine of human population using bacterio-contaminated water in Butembo urban area (DR Congo, Central Africa)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents important data about the diversity and the antimicrobial susceptibility of the urine isolates from people, living in the Butembo urban area, and use bacteria-contaminated water. The information given in the manuscript is of general significance as it can be used for comparison of urine and water bacterial isolates.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest using "bacteria-contaminated" in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The used methods for the identification and susceptibility testing are not included in the abstract. Briefly present the different methods. "Enterobacteriaceae" must be in Italic. Give the full name of "ESBL". Full names of the bacteria must be given when mentioned for the first time. Some mistakes like "analyseds" must be "analysed", "belongs" -> "belong", "reacts" -> "react).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written. Easy to understand. All the steps of the study are presented in detail.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, with minor errors.	
Optional/General comments	"Enterobacteriaceae" must be in Italic throughout the text. Page 3, 1 st paragraph, last sentence: "sepsis" should be changed to "blood stream", "circulatory system" or "blood circulation". Page 4, last paragraph: "Purification". What do you mean by it? Mistakes in the text: "qnd", "MALDI test plant", "Nitrofiradentoin", "Gentamycin".	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Denis Niyazi
Department, University & Country	University Hospital "St. Marina"—Varna, Bulgaria