

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119713
Title of the Manuscript:	Introduction and management of mustard aphid, <i>Lipaphis erysimi</i> Kaltentbach, (Homoptera: Aphididae): A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important. However it needs language editors and re polishing the paper.</p> <p>2.No, It is better to be modifies as "A Comprehensive Review of Mustard Aphid, <i>Lipaphis erysimi</i> Kaltentbach (Homoptera: Aphididae) Damage and Management Strategies"</p> <p>3. It is somewhat shallow type. Better to re organize in a catchy way.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. The references listed are sufficient. However large percent of the references are oldest, which needs re visiting new articles and replacing the older references with the recent references. The author should follow the authors' guideline of the journal. Ideas and sentences are broken in many parts of the manuscript, which needs revisiting and modification.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Very poor, which needs language editors & modification.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Author/s should have to visit again in detail and improve the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Temesgen Fita Gursha
Department, University & Country	Wollega University, Ethiopia