

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_120216
Title of the Manuscript:	Palliative Care Knowledge, Among Physicians in King Abdullah Medical City, Makkah, Saudi Arabia, 2024, Cross Section Study
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is very important as it provide the observational study with regard the Palliative Care Knowledge, Among Physicians at the medical city.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable, concise and correctly structured. The title is very clear and contains all elements (what, on who and where).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive with the required sub-sections. Key words well-presented and arranged in an alphabetic order.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well structured ,with all the different sub-section clearly explained. The manuscript meets the required rules for publication.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, methodology correctly described; results clearly illustrated and explained in different tables based on the reflections carried out; Discussion provided in a more logical flow. NB. the last paragraph under the results, refers to Table 6. There are only Table 1-4 ,there is on table 6 included in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Good job, in-text citation correctly presented. Most of the references used are within the required years of publication. Only having one References no 5 which is older than 10 years, kindly deleted and/ or replaced.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English Language well-presented and correctly used.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taimi Amakali-Nauseb
Department, University & Country	University of Namibia, Namibia