

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_120937</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Diversity And Relative Abundance of Different Pollinators in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Documented about the needs of pollinator. The success of pollinator on pollination may be included.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	One or two sentence to be included about the purpose of study in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Recent data may be given about area, production of Cucurbits in India . The floral phenology of cucumber ensures better pollination. If floral phenology supports for better pollination, what is the need of agents for pollination to be mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent reference may be included. Standard format either may be number or author may be mentioned .	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Number of days observed to be specified Author for the formula to be given  The points highlighted to be included . et al always should be italics Standard method to be followed cane and walker, 1984: Crane and Walker, 1984 One or two reference about the influence of unscientific use of pesticides on reduction of pollinators to be included in introduction . Standard method for documenting diversity and abundance to be mentioned.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Any data on success of pollination by the visit of pollinator may be included	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Vallal Kannan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>