

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_121006
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DATA ON CHILDHOOD TUBERCULOSIS IN THE LAST DECADE IN SÃO PAULO
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important for the scientific community, since Tuberculosis continues to be a significant public health concern. I like this manuscript since it pointed that several studies have highlighted the complexity of controlling tuberculosis in the group childhood & adolescents, due to several factors : the difficulty in early diagnosis, the variability in clinical presentation, and the need for prolonged therapeutic regimens. The manuscript also concluded that although demographic density is an important factor in the epidemiology of childhood tuberculosis, other factors such as socioeconomic conditions, access to health services and effective public policies also play crucial roles	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is simple, but it clearly showed the correlation between demographic density and the incidence of childhood tuberculosis in the city of Sao Paulo over the last decade	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive since it concluded that areas with greater population density had higher rates of childhood tuberculosis. But it also stated that there is still a need for specific and continuous strategies for areas of high population density to control the disease	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this manuscript is is scientifically robust and technically sound : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract is comprehensive - The structure and the subsections are appropriate - The title clearly showed the correlation between demographic density and the incidence of childhood tuberculosis - The further review also indicated that public health policies need to be continuously updated and adapted to meet the specific challenges of this disease 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	None	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rayhana Rayhana
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Indonesia