

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_120953
Title of the Manuscript:	"Advanced Colorectal Carcinoma with Initial Non-Malignant Biopsy Results and Intussusception: The Critical Role of Multidisciplinary Evaluation and Repeat Biopsies"
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This case report is not very important for the scientific community. This is a case report of CRC in a 60-year-old patient. The themes of this case report are the role of MDT meetings and the importance of repeated biopsy procedures. However, the patient's clinical presentations, laboratory results such as severe anaemia (Hb 5.7g/dl), finding of DRE, colonoscopic findings and CT scan results strongly pointed out CRC even though biopsy result for the first time was not informative to confirm the diagnosis of CRC. CT scan results also showed multiple secondary metastases in the lung which revealed stage 4 carcinoma. These findings are strong enough to diagnose CRC regardless of the biopsy report of the colonic mass. This patient also has another medical problem of hepatitis C positive with signs of portal hypertension such as hepatosplenomegaly and dilated portal vein. This also to be considered the correlation of Hepatitis C induced cirrhosis liver and CRC.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is a scientifically correct case report. The information passed by this case report is the role of MDT management in CRC. In current medical practice, the role of MDT is crucial in many fields such as in patients with dilemmas in diagnosis and management. For example, the MDT meeting for the Care of The Elderly (COTE) medicine causes significant improvement in the survival of elderly patients.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quite suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zay Soe
Department, University & Country	Management and Science University, Malaysia