

Effect of different levels of farm yard manure (FYM) integrated with organic modules on yield, quality and pest incidence of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)

Abstract

The study titled “effect of different levels of farm yard manure (FYM) integrated with organic modules on yield, quality and pest incidence of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)” was conducted during late *Kharif* season during 2021-22 and 2022-23 at administrative office, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Mulugu, Telangana, India. The experiment was laid out in contrast factorial randomized block design (CFRBD) with two factors and one control and replicated thrice. The pooled results indicated that, control treatment (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) reported highest number of fruits per plant (19.05), highest fruit weight (14.72 g), maximum fruit yield per plant (0.28 kg), maximum fruit yield per hectare (9.52 t/ha) over the other treatments. L₁M₁ (FYM equivalent to 100 % RDN + organic module-1) registered minimum crude fibre content (7.10%), and maximum chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (1.19 mg/100g). While, minimum mean percent of fruit infestation (6.28%) was reported with control (100% RDF-100:50:50kg NPK/ha + emamectin benzoate 5%SG @ 70 g/200 lit) and lowest mean population of jassid per leaf (1.08/leaf), was recorded with control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha + imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 100 ml/acre).

Keywords: Okra, organic farming, biological control, biopesticides and FYM

Introduction

Okra, scientifically known as *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench, is a significant vegetable commonly referred to as lady's finger and locally named bhindi in India. It has a chromosome number of 2n=130 and originated from tropical and subtropical regions of Africa. Okra is prized for its tender fruits and is categorized as a crucial vegetable crop for both rainy and summer seasons, belonging to the Malvaceae family. Okra is recognized for its high iodine content and its effectiveness in treating goiter. The fruit is beneficial for managing genito-urinary disorders, spermetorrhoea, and chronic dysentery. Dried okra fruit contains approximately 13-22% edible oil and 20-24% protein, suitable for use as refined edible oil (Bose *et al.*, 2003). Research indicates that 100 grams of fresh okra pods contain 89.6% moisture, along with 103 mg of potassium, 90 mg of calcium, 43 mg of magnesium, 56 mg of phosphorus, 18 mg of vitamin C, and trace metals such as iron and aluminum (Markose and Peter, 1990). India holds the title of the world's largest producer of okra. In the year 2020-21, the total area dedicated to okra cultivation in India was 513,000 hectares, yielding a production of 6,466,000 metric tons of green fruits, with a crop productivity of 11.63 tons per hectare (Anonymous, 2021). Okra contributes 4.9% of the total vegetable cultivation area and 3.3% of the production. West Bengal leads in both the area under cultivation and production of okra, while Jammu and Kashmir boasts the highest productivity. Other major okra-producing states include Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Odisha, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Telangana, Karnataka, and Tamil Nadu.

Successful cultivation of okra hinges on several factors, with the careful application of fertilizers being critical. Overuse of fertilizers and chemical pesticides not only degrades soil health and the quality of produce but also renders it unsuitable for export due to contamination concerns. Consumers are concerned about the vegetables they eat. Both the international and domestic communities are becoming more and more conscious on issues like residues of poisonous agrochemicals in vegetables and their associated health and

environmental hazards. The current global scenario firmly emphasizes the need to adopt eco-friendly practices for sustainable food production that paves a path for organic agriculture (Carson and Peterson, 1990; Gamma, 2015).

In the foreseeable future, utilizing organic manures to fulfill crop nutrient needs will be indispensable for sustainable agriculture. Organic manures are known to enhance soil physical, chemical, and biological properties, while preserving its moisture retention capacity. This leads to enhanced crop production and maintenance of crop quality (Premsekhar and Rajshree, 2009). Moreover, organic manure increases cation exchange capacity, improves water holding capacity, and enhances soil phosphate availability. It also boosts fertilizer efficiency and promotes soil microbial populations, thereby reducing nitrogen losses through gradual nutrient release (Tadesse *et al.*, 2013). The biological control of insect pests using various entomopathogenic microorganisms is increasingly valued for their specificity to targets, self-sustaining nature, and environmental safety. Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) such as *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, and *Lecanicillium lecanii* have demonstrated effective pest control capabilities over decades. There have been no reports of resistance developing against these EPF so far. Compared to synthetic pesticides, bio-pesticides offer a safer and more sustainable approach to insect pest management (Copping and Menn, 2000). Botanical pesticides like neem oil are also recognized as eco-friendly options for controlling pests such as jassids (Akramuzzaman *et al.*, 2018; Hafeez-ur-Rehman *et al.*, 2015). Neem based pesticides exhibit antifeedant, repellent, and toxic properties against insect pests (Senthil-Nathan *et al.*, 2009). The primary active ingredient in neem oil, azadirachtin, disrupts the synthesis and release of molting hormones (ecdysteroids) from the prothoracic gland, leading to incomplete molting in immature insects and sterility in adult females (Gosse *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, spores of entomopathogenic fungi germinate on the integument of insects and secrete enzymes like chitinase, protease, and lipase, which degrade the insects' cuticle. This aids in the penetration of the fungal body into the insect's hemocoel, ultimately causing its death (Mora *et al.*, 2018).

Material and methods

The present investigation was conducted during late *Kharif* season during 2021-22 and 2022-23 at administrative office, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Mulugu, Telangana. The experimental site comes under sub-tropical zone and is situated at a latitude of 17°43'02'' N and a longitude of 78°37'34'' E and altitude of 595 m above MSL. The research work was conducted in the Contrast Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. Factor-1 consists of three levels of FYM, calculated based on recommended dosage of Nitrogen and factor-2 consists of three organic modules and separate control (100% RDF) plot was grown.

Treatment details:

Factor 1: Farm Yard Manure

L₁: FYM equivalent to 100% RDN

L₂: FYM equivalent to 75% RDN

L₃: FYM equivalent to 50% RDN

Based on the recommended dosage of fertilizers (RDF), farm yard manure is applied in different levels taking 'N' into criteria, RDF of okra: 100:50:50 kg/ha

Factor 2: Organic modules

Organic Module 1 (M₁):

- Application of *Trichoderma viride* @ 5kg/ha enriched in farm yard manure and neemcake @ 250 kg/ha and incorporated into the soil at the time of last ploughing.
- Seed treatment with *Trichoderma viride* @ 4g/kg seed.

- Spraying of 3 per cent panchagavya solution and 5 per cent neem oil at every 10 days interval up to the last harvest.
- Spraying of *Beauveria bassiana* @ 5g/liter and *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 1kg/ha at every 10 days interval starting from flower initiation.

Organic Module 2 (M₂):

- Application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 5.0 kg/ha enriched in farm yard manure and neemcake @ 250 kg/ha and incorporated into the soil at the time of last ploughing.
- Seed treatment with *Bacillus macerans*@ 3% w/w.
- Spraying of 10 per cent vermiwash solution and Neem Seed Kernel Extract (NSKE) @ 5 per cent at every 10 days interval up to the last harvest.
- Spraying of *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 5g/liter and NPV @ 250 LE/ha at every 10 days interval starting from flower initiation.

Organic Module 3 (M₃):

- Application of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae @ 10kg/ha enriched in farm yard manure and neem cake @ 250 kg/ha incorporated into the soil at the time of last ploughing.
- Seed treatment with beejamrit@ 10%.
- Spraying of 10 per cent jeevamruth solution and neemstra 5 per cent at every 10 days up to the last harvest.
- Spraying of *Lecanicilliumlecani* @ 5g/liter and *Trichoderma* + *Pseudomonas spp.* @ 5g/liter at every 10 days interval starting from flower initiation.

Treatment combinations:

T₁ (L₁M₁): Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN + Module- I

T₂ (L₁M₂): Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN + Module -II

T₃ (L₁M₃): Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN+ Module -III

T₄ (L₂M₁): Farm yard manure equivalent to 75% RDN + Module- I

T₅ (L₂M₂): Farm yard manure equivalent to 75% RDN + Module- II

T₆ (L₂M₃): Farm yard manure equivalent to 75% RDN + Module- III

T₇ (L₃M₁): Farm yard manure equivalent to 50% RDN + Module- I

T₈ (L₃M₂): Farm yard manure equivalent to 50% RDN + Module- II

T₉ (L₃M₃): Farm yard manure equivalent to 50% RDN + Module- III

Note: A control plot (100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) was grown separately to compare the data, for management of okra shoot and fruit borer and jassids, emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 70 g/200 lit, and imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 100 ml/acre was sprayed.

Seeds were sown at 45×45 cm spacing and plot size of 7.5 m X 5 m, light irrigation was given after sowing. The soil of the investigation field was clay sandy with good drainage and uniform texture with medium NPK status. Observations were recorded according to standard procedure on number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per hectare, crude fibre content (%) (AOAC, 1990), chlorophyll content (mg/100g) according to the methodology of Arnon (1949), percent of fruit infestation (%) by okra shoot and fruit borer, average no. of jassids per leaf (recorded at every 10 days interval). The data on these parameters were subjected to statistical analysis to draw logical conclusions.

Results and Discussion

i) Number of fruits per plant

The data with respect to number of fruits per plant as influenced by different treatments are presented in the Table 1.

Pooled data of two years 2021-22 and 2022-23 indicated that application of different levels of FYM has significantly influenced the number of fruits per plant. Among the different levels of FYM, maximum number of fruits per plant (16.77) was registered in L₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN) followed by L₂ (FYM equivalent to 75% RDN) (14.27) while, minimum number of fruits per plant (12.54) was reported in L₃ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN).

There was significant difference among organic modules with respect to number of fruits per plant. The maximum number of fruits per plant (15.35) was recorded with M₁ (organic module-1) which was followed by M₃ (organic module-3) (14.65). Whereas, the minimum number of fruits per plant (13.58) was recorded with M₂ (organic module-2).

The interaction between different levels of FYM and organic modules on number of fruits per plant was found significant among the treatments, also significant difference between control and rest of the treatments was registered. Maximum number of fruits per plant (19.05) was observed with control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) which was at par with L₁M₁ -FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-1 (18.15), followed by L₁M₃ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-3) (16.78) and the minimum number of fruits per plant (11.61) was recorded with L₃M₂ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN + organic module-2).

The highest number of fruits per plant was observed with the control treatment (100% recommended dose of fertilizers - 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) in both seasons. This outcome can be attributed to the increased application of fertilizers, which resulted in greater availability of nutrients in the soil. This, in turn, enhanced nutrient uptake, leading to improved synthesis of metabolites and their effective transport, thereby promoting better fruit formation (Balemi, 2008). Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in their available inorganic forms potentially stimulate increased production and assimilation of carbohydrates, as noted by Bidari and Hebsur (2011). The enhanced supply of essential nutrients also boosted their availability, uptake, mobilization, and influx into plant tissues, thereby increasing both the number of flowers and fruits (Shukla *et al.*, 2009). Similar findings have been reported previously in studies involving tomato by Nawaz *et al.* (2012) and Hozhbryan (2013).

ii) Average fruit weight (g)

The data recorded with respect to average fruit weight as influenced by levels of FYM and organic modules are presented in Table 1.

Pooled data of two years 2021-22 and 2022-23 indicated that application of different levels of FYM has significantly influenced average fruit weight. Among the different levels of FYM, maximum fruit weight (13.39 g) was registered in L₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN) followed by L₂ (FYM equivalent to 75% RDN) (12.34 g) while, minimum fruit weight (11.52 g) was reported in L₃ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN).

Significant difference among organic modules upon fruit weight was reported. The maximum fruit weight (12.90 g) was recorded with M₁ (organic module-1) followed by M₃ (organic module-3) (12.61 g). Whereas, the minimum fruit weight (11.73 g) was recorded with M₂ (organic module-2).

The interaction between different levels of FYM and organic modules on fruit weight differed significantly among the treatments and also significant difference between control and rest of the treatments was registered. Control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha)

treatment reported highest fruit weight (14.72 g) which was followed by L₁M₁ - FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-1 (14.28 g). Lowest fruit weight *i.e.*, 10.77 g was recorded in the treatment L₃M₂ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN+ organic module-2).

The highest fruit weight was observed with the control treatment (100% recommended dose of fertilizers - 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha). A sufficient supply of nitrogen not only stimulates the synthesis of nutrients but also promotes their effective distribution to the plant's storage organs. Nitrogen's influence on stomatal conductance enhances the transport of photosynthetic materials, facilitating the production and movement of sugars and starches from source to sink within the plants (Kanneh *et al.*, 2016). This contributes to an increase in the average pod weight of okra. These findings align with previous studies by Ilupejuet *al.* (2015) and Bake and Omar (2023).

iii) Fruit yield per plant (Kg)

The data recorded with respect to fruit yield per plant as influenced by different levels of FYM and organic modules are presented in Table 1.

Pooled data of 2021-22 and 2022-23 indicated that application of different levels of FYM has found significant with respect to fruit yield per plant. Among the different levels of FYM, maximum fruit yield per plant (0.23 kg) was registered in L₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN) followed by L₂ (FYM equivalent to 75% RDN) (0.18 kg) while, minimum fruit yield per plant (0.14 kg) was reported in L₃ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN).

There was significant difference among organic modules upon fruit yield per plant. The highest fruit yield per plant (0.20 kg) was recorded with M₁ (organic module-1) followed by M₃ (organic module-3) (0.18 kg). Whereas, the lowest fruit yield per plant (0.17 kg) was recorded with M₂ (organic module-2).

The interaction effect between different levels of FYM and organic modules upon fruit yield per plant was found significant and also significant difference was reported between control and rest of the treatments. Control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) treatment reported maximum fruit yield per plant (0.28 kg) which was followed by L₁M₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-1) (0.26 kg). Minimum fruit yield per plant *i.e.*, 0.13 kg was recorded in the treatment L₃M₂ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN+ organic module-2).

Table 1: Effect of different levels of farm yard manure (FYM) integrated with organic modules on number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g) and fruit yield per plant (Kg)

Pooled data of 2021-22 and 2022-23												
FYM levels	Number of fruits per plant				Average fruit weight (g)				Fruit yield per plant (Kg)			
	Organic modules				Organic modules				Organic modules			
	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean
L1	18.15	15.38	16.78	16.77	14.28	12.48	13.40	13.39	0.26	0.21	0.23	0.23
L2	14.65	13.75	14.41	14.27	12.61	11.94	12.46	12.34	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.18
L3	13.25	11.61	12.76	12.54	11.80	10.77	11.98	11.52	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.14
Mean	15.35	13.58	14.65		12.90	11.73	12.61		0.20	0.17	0.18	
Control	19.05				14.72				0.28			
	L	M	L M	X Control	L	M	L M	X Control	L	M	L M	X Control

SEm±	0.05	0.05	0.16	0.16	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.08	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002
LSD (5%)	0.16	0.16	0.48	0.90	0.08	0.08	0.26	0.34	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.007

iv) Fruit yield per hectare (t/ha)

The data with regard to effect of different levels of FYM and organic modules and their interaction on fruit yield per hectare is depicted in Table 2.

The perusal of pooled data revealed that application of different levels of FYM has significantly influenced the fruit yield per hectare. Among the different levels of FYM, maximum fruit yield per hectare (8.03 t/ha) was registered in L₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN) followed by L₂ (FYM equivalent to 75% RDN) (6.57 t/ha) while minimum fruit yield per hectare (5.45 t/ha) was reported in L₃ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN).

Organic modules on fruit yield per hectare differed significantly among treatments. Maximum fruit yield per hectare (7.06 t/ha) was recorded with M₁ (organic module-1) which was followed by M₃ (organic module-3) (6.22 t/ha). M₂ (Organic module-2) has registered lowest fruit yield per hectare (6.76 t/ha).

The interaction between different levels of FYM and organic modules on fruit yield per hectare differ significantly, also significant difference between control and rest of the treatments was registered. Control (100 % RDF- 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) recorded the maximum fruit yield per hectare (9.52 t/ha) which was followed by L₁M₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-1) (8.58 t/ha) while, the treatment L₃M₂ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN + organic module-2) reported minimum fruit yield per hectare (5.09 t/ha).

The main objective of cultivation of a crop is to have maximum marketable yield for better returns. Fruit

yield is the ultimate objective for which different trials are conducted

The primary goal of crop cultivation is to achieve maximum marketable yield for optimal returns. Fruit yield serves as the ultimate objective, driving various trials and experiments. The highest fruit yield per plant and per hectare was achieved under the control treatment (100% recommended dose of fertilizers - 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha). Plants that were taller with more branches exhibited an increased photosynthetic area, leading to greater production and improved translocation of assimilated nutrients from source to sink. This resulted in a higher accumulation of assimilates, contributing to increased fruit number and fruit weight, ultimately enhancing overall yield (Dademol and Dongale, 2004; Pavitradevet *et al.*, 2012; Kaur *et al.*, 2018). The higher yield observed with the 100% recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) can be attributed to the adequate supply of essential nutrients in a balanced proportion, promoting robust plant growth and ensuring sufficient photosynthate supply for sink development. Additionally, the higher concentration of NPK (100% RDF) likely enhanced the availability of plant nutrients, thereby improving yield attributes (Harikrishna *et al.*, 2002). Similar results have been reported in other crops such radish (Baloch *et al.*, 2014) and tomato (Eakub Ali, 2020).

v) Crude fiber (%)

The data recorded with respect to crude fibre content (%) as influenced by different levels of FYM and organic modules are presented in Table 2.

Pooled data of both years 2021-22 and 2022-23 indicated that application of different levels of FYM has significantly influenced crude fibre content. Among the different levels of FYM, minimum crude fibre content (7.25%) was registered in L₁-FYM equivalent to 100% RDN followed by L₂-FYM equivalent to 75% RDN (8.48%) while, maximum crude fibre content (9.25%) was reported in L₃- FYM equivalent to 50% RDN.

Significant difference among organic modules with respect to crude fibre content was reported. The minimum crude fibre content (8.20%) was recorded with M₁ - organic module-1 followed by M₃- organic module-3 (8.33%). Whereas, the maximum crude fibre content (8.46%) was recorded with M₂ - organic module-2.

Interactions between levels of FYM and organic modules on crude fibre content did not differ significantly while, significant difference between control and rest of the treatments was registered. While, minimum crude fibre content (7.10%) was observed with L₁M₁ (FYM equivalent to 100 % RDN + organic module-1) followed by L₁M₃ (FYM equivalent to 100 % RDN + organic module-3) (7.27%) and control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) recorded 8.72 % crude fibre content.

The lowest crude fiber content was observed with L₁M₁ (farm yard manure equivalent to 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) + organic module-1). This decrease in crude fiber content can be attributed to the increased succulence resulting from higher nitrogen application (Mani and Ramanathan, 1981). The use of organic manure (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-1) exhibited a distinct advantage in enhancing fruit quality compared to inorganic fertilizers. This improvement is likely due to a balanced C ratio, which facilitates the availability of nitrogen and organic carbon, thereby enhancing produce quality. As the crop matures, there was an increase in crude fiber content, potentially due to reduced succulence caused by cell wall thickening and reduced nitrogen uptake as the crop advances (Premsekhar and Rajashree, 2009). Applying farm yard manure at 20 t/ha resulted in the lowest recorded crude fiber content in okra fruits (10.31%). Similarly, spraying panchagavya at 3% also minimized crude fiber content in okra (Priyanka *et al.*, 2021; Hathi *et al.*, 2022). These findings corroborate earlier studies by Ciba *et al.* (2017), Amiryet *et al.* (2018), and Alam *et al.* (2019) on okra.

vi) Chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (mg/100g)

The data with respect to chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (mg/100g) as influenced by levels of FYM and organic modules are presented in Table 2.

Pooled data of two seasons data indicated that application of different levels of FYM has significantly influenced chlorophyll content in fresh fruit. Among the different levels of FYM, maximum chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (1.13 mg/100g) was registered in L₁ - FYM equivalent to 100% RDN followed by L₂ - FYM equivalent to 75% RDN (0.87 mg/100g) while, minimum chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (0.69 mg/100g) was reported in L₃- FYM equivalent to 50% RDN.

There was significant difference among organic modules upon chlorophyll content in fresh fruit. The maximum chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (0.95 mg/100g) was recorded with M₁ - organic module-1 followed by M₃- organic module-3 (0.90 mg/100g). Whereas, the minimum chlorophyll content in fresh fruit (0.83 mg/100g) was recorded with M₂ - organic module-2.

The interaction between different levels of FYM and organic modules on chlorophyll content in fresh fruit did not differ significantly, while, significant difference between control and rest of the treatments was registered. Maximum chlorophyll content in fresh fruit *i.e.*, 1.19 mg/100g was reported with L₁M₁ (FYM equivalent to 100% RDN + organic module-1) while, control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha) recorded 1.98 mg/100g chlorophyll content in fresh fruit. Lowest chlorophyll content (0.61 mg/100g) was reported with L₃M₂ (FYM equivalent to 50% RDN + organic module-2).

L₁M₁ (Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) + organic module-1) exhibited significantly higher chlorophyll content in the study. The enhanced chlorophyll levels in this treatment can be attributed to the effective supply of essential nutrients to the plants. Chlorophyll synthesis in plants is directly influenced by the availability of physiologically active nutrients such as iron (Fe), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and sulfur (S). The application of farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN, along with incorporation of *Trichoderma viride* at 5 kg/ha enriched with neem cake and spraying a 3% panchagavya solution at regular intervals, likely provided optimal levels of macro (N, P, K) and micronutrients (Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mg). This facilitated active mineralization, nutrient mobilization, and increased nutrient uptake, supporting chlorophyll formation. Iron is crucial for chlorophyll synthesis and maintenance of chloroplast structure and function (Suresh *et al.*, 2021), while magnesium plays a role in chlorophyll synthesis and enhances photosynthetic rates (Anburani and Manivannan, 2002). Increase in chlorophyll content can be linked to improved photosynthetic activity in plants, consistent with findings reported by Karanatsidis and Berova (2009).

Table 2: Effect of different levels of farm yard manure (FYM) integrated with organic modules on fruit yield per hectare (t/ha), crude fibre (%) and chlorophyll (mg/100g)

Pooled data of 2021-22 and 2022-23												
FYM levels	Fruit yield per hectare (t/ha)				Crude fibre (%)				Chlorophyll (mg/100g)			
	Organic modules				Organic modules				Organic modules			
	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M3	Mean
L1	8.58	7.47	8.03	8.03	7.10	7.39	7.27	7.25	1.19	1.07	1.15	1.13
L2	7.01	6.11	6.59	6.57	8.38	8.59	8.46	8.48	0.93	0.81	0.86	0.87
L3	5.60	5.09	5.65	5.45	9.11	9.41	9.25	9.25	0.75	0.61	0.71	0.69
Mean	7.06	6.22	6.76		8.20	8.46	8.33		0.95	0.83	0.90	
Control	9.52				8.72				0.98			
	L	M	L X M	Control	L	M	L X M	Control	L	M	L X M	Control

Factor: 1

L₁: Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN
L₂: Farm yard manure equivalent to 75% RDN
L₃: Farm yard manure equivalent to 50% RDN

Factor: 2

M₁: Organic Module-1
M₂: Organic Module-2
M₃: Organic Module-3
Control: 100% RDF

SEm±	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.004	0.004	0.011	0.011
LSD (5%)	0.06	0.06	0.16	0.24	0.04	0.04	NS	0.16	0.011	0.011	NS	0.043

vii) Percent of fruit infestation (%) by okra shoot and fruit borer

Pooled data with respect to percent of fruit infestation in okra presented in Table3 revealed that application of different levels of FYM has non-significant effect while, organic modules has found significant on percent of fruit infestation. During the entire crop period,

minimum mean percent of fruit infestation (6.28%) was recorded in control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha + emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 70 g/200 lit) which is followed by L₁M₁-100% RDF + organic module-1 (10.04% respectively).

There was a significant difference among the organic modules in terms of the percent infestation of okra by shoot and fruit borers, with the lowest infestation recorded in M₁-organic module-1. This module involved spraying 5% neem oil, *Beauveria bassiana* at 5g/liter, and *Bacillus thuringiensis* at 1kg/ha at 10-day intervals. Nayak *et al.* (2013) demonstrated that applying Biodart (*B. thuringiensis*) and Daman (*B. bassiana*) at 1 kg/ha at specific intervals resulted in less fruit damage, both in terms of infested fruits and weight loss, compared to other compounds. Amin *et al.* (2021) highlighted that *Bacillus thuringiensis* Serovar Kurstaki at 2 ml suspension/liter was the most effective biological control method for sustainable management of okra shoot and fruit borers. The efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi such as *Beauveria bassiana* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* in reducing shoot and fruit borer infestations is supported by the findings of Adsuree *et al.* (2015).

There was no significant interaction effect between different levels of farm yard manure (FYM) and organic modules on the percent of fruit infestation. However, a significant difference was observed between the control and the other treatments. The lowest percent of infestation was recorded with the control treatment (100% recommended dose of fertilizers - 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha + emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 70 g/200 L), which proved highly effective against shoot and fruit borer pests. In studies on brinjal, emamectin benzoate 5% SG applied at 200 g/ha significantly reduced fruit and shoot damage and resulted in higher fruit yields (Roy *et al.*, 2016; Yadav *et al.*, 2017). Similarly, emamectin benzoate 5% SG applied at 17.0 g a.i/ha was found highly effective against shoot and fruit borers, achieving an average population reduction of 90.72% after three applications (Lipsaet *et al.*, 2020). These results align with findings reported by Shrivastava *et al.* (2017) and Rohith *et al.* (2023).

Table 3: Effect of different levels of farm yard manure and organic modules on percent of fruit infestation by okra shoot and fruit borer (Pooled data of 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Percent of fruit infestation (Pooled data of 2021-22 and 2022-23)						
Treatment	After 6 th spray	After 7 th spray	After 8 th spray	After 9 th spray	After 10 th spray	Mean
FYM levels (L)						
L ₁	8.14	13.41	13.58	12.70	10.95	11.76
L ₂	8.27	13.94	13.53	13.15	11.05	11.99
L ₃	9.23	13.76	13.88	13.82	11.06	12.35
S.Em±	0.030	0.045	0.050	0.039	0.028	
LSD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Modules (M)						
M ₁	6.74	12.66	12.46	10.60	9.39	10.37
M ₂	9.32	13.56	14.65	13.50	11.45	12.50
M ₃	9.57	14.89	13.89	15.58	12.21	13.23
S.Em±	0.030	0.045	0.050	0.039	0.028	
LSD (5%)	0.089	0.134	0.150	0.115	0.084	
Interaction (L X M)						
L ₁ M ₁	6.28	12.27	12.19	10.15	9.32	10.04
L ₁ M ₂	9.11	13.22	14.27	12.96	11.32	12.18
L ₁ M ₃	9.04	14.75	14.30	15.01	12.19	13.06
L ₂ M ₁	6.40	12.90	12.75	10.36	9.46	10.37
L ₂ M ₂	9.13	13.70	14.82	13.55	11.58	12.56

L ₂ M ₃	9.28	15.22	13.02	15.56	12.11	13.04
L ₃ M ₁	7.55	12.80	12.44	11.30	9.38	10.69
L ₃ M ₂	9.72	13.76	14.86	12.99	11.45	12.56
L ₃ M ₃	10.40	14.70	14.35	16.17	12.32	13.59
S.Em±	0.090	0.135	0.151	0.117	0.084	
LSD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Control	3.77	7.06	7.38	6.62	6.55	6.28
S.Em±	0.090	0.135	0.151	0.117	0.084	
LSD (5%)	0.345	0.518	0.580	0.447	0.324	

Factor: 1

L₁: Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN

L₂: Farm yard manure equivalent to 75% RDN

L₃: Farm yard manure equivalent to 50% RDN

Factor: 2

M₁: Organic Module-1

M₂: Organic Module-2

M₃: Organic Module-3

Control: 100% RDF + emamectin

benzoate 5% SG @ 70 g/200 lit

viii) Average number of jassids per leaf

Pooled data recorded on average no. of adults per leaf in okra presented in Table 4 revealed that application of different levels of FYM has non-significant effect on controlling jassids, while, organic modules and control with rest of treatments has significantly effective in jassids population. Lowest mean (mean of all sprays) population of jassid per leaf (1.08/leaf), was recorded in control (100 % RDF-100:50:50 kg NPK/ha + imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 100 ml/acre) which is followed by L₁M₃ (100% RDF + organic module-3) (1.69/leaf).

Organic module-3 (M₃) demonstrated the lowest population of jassids among the organic modules tested. *Lecanicillium lecanii*, a fungal bio-agent known for its efficacy against sucking pests such as jassids, holds significant promise for integrated pest management (Park and Kim, 2010; Ujjan and Shahzad, 2012). Combining *L. lecanii* with neemastra proved to be the most effective treatment for reducing jassid populations. In okra, application of *L. lecanii* at 7 g/l resulted in higher mortality of jassids (Baladaniya et al., 2010). Spraying *L. lecanii* at 5 g/l three times from 25 days after sowing (DAS), with a 10-day interval between sprays, significantly reduced the incidence of leaf hoppers, thrips, and whiteflies (Shankar et al., 2023). *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, known for enhancing plant growth through mechanisms like siderophore production, antibiotic synthesis, and promotion of plant growth hormones, is also utilized as a potential bio-protectant (Kloepper et al., 1986). Similar findings were reported by Jaydeep et al. (2021).

Interaction effect between different levels of FYM and organic modules has found non-significant effect with respect to jassid population, while, significant difference between control and rest of the treatments was reported. Control (100% RDF-100:50:50kg NPK/ha + imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 100 ml/acre) has found most effective in controlling jassid population when compared to organic modules. Present findings are in close conformity with the results of Raghuraman and Ajanta (2011) who reported that imidacloprid 17.8% SL @ 80 gm a.i./ha significantly suppressed whitefly and jassid populations, and consequently increased the yield in okra. Similar results earlier were reported by Pawar et al. (2016); Prem Kumar and Ashwin (2017) and Jayadeep et al. (2021).

Table 4: Effect of different levels of farm yard manure and organic modules on number of jassids per leaf of okra (Pooled data of 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Treatment	After 3 rd spray	After 4 th spray	After 5 th spray	After 6 th spray
-----------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

FYM levels (L)				
L ₁	1.99	2.27	2.45	2.14
L ₂	2.07	2.25	2.41	2.25
L ₃	2.05	2.39	2.55	2.29
S.Em±	0.009	0.013	0.015	0.016
LSD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Modules (M)				
M ₁	2.08	2.40	2.58	2.11
M ₂	2.85	2.95	3.30	3.19
M ₃	1.18	1.57	1.53	1.38
S.Em±	0.009	0.013	0.015	0.016
LSD (5%)	0.026	0.039	0.046	0.048
Interaction (L X M)				
L ₁ M ₁	2.05	2.30	2.53	2.12
L ₁ M ₂	2.78	2.97	3.31	3.03
L ₁ M ₃	1.13	1.55	1.49	1.27
L ₂ M ₁	2.10	2.38	2.51	2.01
L ₂ M ₂	2.89	2.86	3.20	3.28
L ₂ M ₃	1.21	1.53	1.53	1.46
L ₃ M ₁	2.08	2.51	2.68	2.20
L ₃ M ₂	2.89	3.03	3.39	3.25
L ₃ M ₃	1.20	1.64	1.58	1.41
S.Em±	0.026	0.039	0.046	0.049
LSD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Control	0.72	1.05	0.95	0.75
S.Em±	0.026	0.039	0.046	0.049
LSD (5%)	0.101	0.150	0.178	0.187

Treatment	After 7th spray	After 8th spray	After 9th spray	After 10th spray	Mean
FYM levels (L)					
L ₁	2.35	3.22	3.17	2.77	2.55
L ₂	2.44	3.13	3.04	2.86	2.56
L ₃	2.54	3.26	3.15	2.91	2.64
S.Em±	0.017	0.015	0.020	0.014	
LSD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Modules (M)					
M ₁	2.35	3.16	3.24	2.75	2.58
M ₂	3.39	4.00	3.87	3.79	3.42
M ₃	1.60	2.45	2.26	1.99	1.75
S.Em±	0.017	0.015	0.020	0.014	
LSD (5%)	0.050	0.044	0.059	0.041	
Interaction (L X M)					
L ₁ M ₁	2.37	3.17	3.28	2.73	2.57
L ₁ M ₂	3.23	4.04	4.03	3.66	3.38
L ₁ M ₃	1.46	2.45	2.21	1.92	1.69
L ₂ M ₁	2.15	3.19	3.05	2.66	2.51
L ₂ M ₂	3.50	3.82	3.80	3.91	3.41
L ₂ M ₃	1.69	2.38	2.27	2.00	1.76
L ₃ M ₁	2.52	3.12	3.38	2.87	2.67
L ₃ M ₂	3.45	4.15	3.77	3.81	3.47
L ₃ M ₃	1.65	2.52	2.31	2.05	1.80

S.Em±	0.051	0.045	0.060	0.042	
LSD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Control	0.94	1.54	1.42	1.29	1.08
S.Em±	0.051	0.045	0.060	0.042	
LSD (5%)	0.195	0.171	0.230	0.159	

Factor: 1

L₁: Farm yard manure equivalent to 100% RDN

L₂: Farm yard manure equivalent to 75% RDN

L₃: Farm yard manure equivalent to 50% RDN

Factor: 2

M₁: Organic Module-1

M₂: Organic Module-2

M₃: Organic Module-3

Control: 100% RDF + imidacloprid
17.8 SL @ 100 ml/acre

References

1. Adsure SP, Mohite PB. Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) on chickpea. *Journal of Global Biosciences*. 2015; 4(8):3154-3157.
2. Akramuzzaman, M., Uddin, MM, Islam KS. Biorational management of okra jassid (*Amrascadevastans*). *Progressive Agriculture*. 2018; 29(3): 205-212.
3. Alam SM, Ullah MA, Haider SI, Nawab NN, Aamir SS, Mahmood IA. Effect of farm yard manure and planting densities on growth, yield and quality of okra under natural farming. *International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry*. 2019; 6: 21-25.
4. Amin R, Hossain ME, Sultana R, Alam MA, Hossain MA. Eco-friendly management of okra shoot and fruit borer using bio-control agents. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*. 2021; 28(01): 2335-2340.
5. Amiry MN, Anjanappa M, Ibaad MH, Indiresk KM, Patil SV, Anil KS, Ajaneya RB. Influence of integrated nutrient management on soil nutrient status, nutrient uptake and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.) cv. Arka Anamika under drip irrigation. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*. 2018; 6(1): 1012-1015.
6. Anburani A, Manivannan K. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth in brinjal. *South Indian Horticulture*. 2002; 50(6): 377-386.
7. Anonymous. Area and Production Published by Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperation. 2021. www.aps.dac.gov.in
8. AOAC. Official methods of analysis for fiber. *Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 1990. 14th edition. Washington DC, USA.
9. Arnon DI. Copper enzyme in isolated chloroplasts polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology*. 1949; 24: 1-15.
10. Bake IDI, Omar AWH. Effects of different rates of NPK 15: 15: 15 fertilizer on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) in Nigeria. *Afropoli-Tan Journals*. 2023; 10(1): 1-13.
11. Baladaniya RB, Kapadia MN, Jethva, DM. Dose response of mycoinsecticides against *Amrascabiguttulabiguttula* (Ishida) on okra. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2010; 72(2): 181-182.
12. Balemi T. Response of tomato cultivars differing in growth habit to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers and spacing on vertisol in Ethiopia. *Acta Agriculturae Slovenica*. 2008; 91 (1): 103-119.

13. Baloch PA, Uddin R, Nizamani FK, Solangi AH, Siddiqui AA. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on growth and yield characteristics of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environment Science*. 2014; 14(6): 565-569
14. Bidari BI, Hebsur NS. Potassium in relation to yield and quality of selected vegetable crops. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*. 2011; 24 (1):55-59.
15. Bose TK, Kabir J, Maity TK, Parthasarathy VA, Som, MG. *Vegetables Crops volume-3*. Naya Prokash, Calcutta, India, 2003; pp 209-240.
16. Carson W, Peterson C. The role of litter in an old field community: Impact of litter quantity in different seasons on plant species richness and abundance. *Oecologia*. 1990; 85:8-13.
17. Ciba C, Syamala M. Study on integrated nutrient management on physiological and biochemical attributes of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6(11): 3321-3325.
18. Copping LG, Menn JJ. Biopesticides: A review of their action, applications and efficacy. *Pest Management Science*. 2000; 56: 651–676.
19. Dademol AA and Dongale JH. Effect of manure and fertilizer on growth and yield of okra and nutrient availability in lateritic soil of Konkan. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. 2004; 14(2): 278-283.
20. Eakub Ali, Md. Rezaul K, Fakhar UT, and Sohanur R. Growth and yield responses of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) under different combinations of planting times and fertilizers. *Reviews In Food and Agriculture*. 2020; 1(2): 74-81.
21. Gamma SS. Effect of organic and bio-fertilization on tomato production. *International Journal of Advanced Research*. 2015; 3(10): 1799-1805.
22. Gossé B, Amissa AA, Adjé FA, Niamké FB, Ollivier D, Ito Y. Analysis of components of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) oil by diverse chromatographic techniques. *Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies*. 2005; 28(14): 2225–2233.
23. Hafeez-ur-Rehman, Muhammad N, Mahmood A, Husn B. Comparative efficacy of neem oil and lambda-cyhalothrin against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) and jassid (*Amrasca devastans*) in okra field. *Russian Agricultural Sciences*. 2015; 41:138–145.
24. Harikrishna BL, Channal HT, Hebsur NS, Dharmatti PR, Sarangamath PA. Integrated nutrient management (INM) on availability of nutrients, uptake and yield of tomato. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2002; 15(2): 275-278.
25. Hathi HS., Patel MV, Shruti BZ. Effect of liquid organic substances, spray frequency and levels of fertilizer on yield, quality and economics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022; 11(7): 833-838.
26. Hozhbryan M. Effect of different levels of urea on the growth and yield of tomato. *Journal of Novel Applied Sciences*. 2013; 2 (3):1031-1035.
27. Ilupeju EAO, Akanbi WB, Olaniyi JO, Lawal BA, Ojo MA, Akintokun PO. Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth fruit yield, nutritional and lycopene contents of three varieties of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) in Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2015; 14(31): 2424-2433.
28. Jaydeep H, Pratap AD, Rani AT. Compatibility of entomopathogenic fungi and botanicals against sucking pests of okra: an ecofriendly approach. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*. 2021; 31(30): 2-7.
29. Kanneh SM, Osei MK, Akromah R, Gyau J. Generation mean analysis of yield and yield components of early generations of interspecific crosses of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*. 2016; 10(2): 98–103.

30. Karanatsidis G, Berova M. Effect of organic-N fertilizer on growth and some physiological parameters in pepper plants (*Capsicum annum* L.) *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*. 2009; 23: 254-257.
31. Kaur M, Singh S, Dishri M, Singh G, Singh SK. Foliar application of zinc and manganese and their effect on yield and quality characters of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cv. Kufri Pukhraj. *Plant Archives*. 2018; 18(2): 1628-1630.
32. Kloepper JW, Scher FM, Laliberti M, Tipping B. Emergence promoting bacteria: description and implication for agriculture. In: Swinburne TR (ed) *Iron Siderophore and plant disease*. 1986. Plenum, New York, pp 155-164.
33. Lipsa Dash, Ramalakshmi V, Deepayan P. Bio-efficacy of emamectin benzoate 5% SG against shoot and fruit borer *Eariasvitella* (Fabricius) on okra. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2020; 9(12): 144-146.
34. Mani S, Ramanathan KM. Effect of nitrogen and potassium on the crude fibre content of bhendi fruits at successive stages of pickings. *South Indian Horticulture*. 1981; 29 (2): 100-103.
35. Markose BL, Peter V. Review of research on vegetable and tuber crops. 1990. *Technical Bulletin 16*. Mannuthy, Kerala Agricultural University Press.
36. Mora MAE, Castilho AMC, Fraga ME. Classification and infection mechanism of entomopathogenic fungi. *Arquivos Do Instituto Biológico*. 2018; 84: 1-10.
37. Nawaz H, Zubair M, Derawadan H. Interactive effects of nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2012; 7 (26): 3792-3769.
38. Nayak US, Baral K, Rath LK, Mondal P. Comparative efficacy of some bio-pesticides and botanicals against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee. *Journal of Interacademia*. 2013; 17(1): 39-43.
39. Park H, Kim K. Selection of *Lecanicillium* strains with high virulence against developmental stages of *Bemisia tabaci*. *Mycobiology*. 2010; 38: 210-14.
40. Pavitradev Singh IP, Satyaprakash Braj Mohan, Vinuj K, Manender S. Impact of integrated nutrient management on the yield performance of summer tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. Kanchan Special. *International Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2012; 8(1): 63-65.
41. Pawar SA, Zanwar PR, Lokare SG, Dongarjal RP, Sonkamble MM. Efficacy of newer insecticides against sucking pests of okra. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2016; 78(3): 257-259.
42. Prem KN, Ashwani K. Efficacy of Selected Insecticides against Sucking Insect Pests [*Amrasca biguttulabiguttula* (Ishida) and *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius)] of Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6(8): 3256-3259.
43. Premsekhar M, Rajashree V. Influence of organic manures on growth, yield and quality of okra. *American- Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 2009; 3: 6-8.
44. Priyanka D, Lakhawat SS, Paliana S, Sharma SK, Azad M, Dudi DPS, Sharvan KY, Pratishta D. Effect of organic manures and liquid formulations on growth, yield and quality of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] cv. Arka Anamika. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2021; 10(06): 426-433.
45. Raghuraman M, Ajanta B. Field efficacy of Imidacloprid on okra sucking pest complex. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2011; 73(1): 76-79.
46. Rohith P, Anoorag RT, Ashok SC, Reguri D. Efficacy of certain insecticides and neem products against shoot and fruit borer [*Eariasvittella* (Fabricius)] of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023; 13(12): 435-440.

47. Roy G, Gazmer R, Sarkar S, Laskar N, Das G, Samata A. Comparative bioefficacy of different insecticides against fruit and shoot borer *Leucinodes carbonalis* Guenee of brinjal and their effects on natural enemies. *International Journal of Green Pharmacy*. 2016; 10(4): 257-260.
48. Senthil-Nathan, S, Choi MY, Paik CH, Seo HY, Kalaivani K. Toxicity and physiological effects of neem pesticides applied to rice on the *Nilaparvatalugens*, the brown planthopper. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2009; 72(6): 1707-1713.
49. Shankar M, BalaziiNaaiik RVT, Sumalini K, Shankaraiah M. Assessment of *Lecanicillum lecanii* against sucking pests in Bt cotton. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2023; 85(4):1023–1025.
50. Shrivastava, PK, Kumar A, Dhingra MR. Evaluation of insecticides for the management of shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella* (Fab.) infesting okra. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2017; 5(5): 1052-1056
51. Shukla YR, Thakur AK, and Joshi A. Effect of inorganic and bio-fertilizer on yield and horticultural traits in tomato. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2009; 66(2): 285-287.
52. Suresh K, Santosh K, Trilochan M. Interaction between macro and micro-nutrients in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2021; 12:1-9.
53. Tadesse T, Dechassa N, Bayu W, Gebeyehu S. Effects of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizer application on soil physico-chemical properties and nutrient balance in rain-fed lowland rice ecosystem. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2013; 4: 309-316.
54. Ujjan AA, Shahzad S. Use of entomopathogenic fungi for the control of mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*) on canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 2012; 44: 2081-86.
55. Yadav SK, Kumawat KC, Deshwal HL, Kumar S, Manohar SVS. Bioefficacy of newer and biorational Insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, *Earias* Spp on okra. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6(7): 1035-1044.