

MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND DIVERSITY ANALYSIS IN MAIZE (*ZEA MAYS* L.)

ABSTRACT

Maize has a wide range of morphological and physiological traits needs to be characterized for its final utilization in the breeding programs. To introduce unique inbred lines of maize, genetic diversity is an important factor since hybrid combinations in maize breeding depend largely on it. In the present investigation with twenty five genotypes, analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the parameters shows presence of considerable variability among all the genotypes. Narrow deviation from genotypic and phenotypic variance evidenced that very less environmental influence on expression of almost all studied characters. According to the D^2 analysis, the 25 maize inbred lines were divided into eight clusters, with cluster I having the largest number of inbred lines, while clusters II, V, VI, VII, and VIII have only one inbred line. Cluster VI (21-213-CML-401) and cluster VIII (HKI-1105) was the most distant cluster among inbred lines within the clusters, indicating the greatest genetic diversity.

Key words: maize, morphological characters, genetic diversity.

Introduction:

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a cross pollinated crop belong to the family of Gramineae (*Poaceae*). It is one of the most important crops in the world's agricultural sector. It is grown primarily for grain production, followed by its use as a source of fodder for a wide range of products and foods for both humans and animals. The use trends for food, poultry feed, cattle feed, and other purposes, maize occupied 34, 40, 12, 10, and 2% portion respectively, starch and seed Ministry of agriculture & Farmers Welfare, 2021-22). It is the third-most significant cereal the third-largest contribution to the national food basket after wheat and rice, with an 8% contribution with highest rate of increase among cereal (Bison *etal.* 2017). As a cross-pollinated species, maize has a wide range of morphological plasticity and geographic flexibility, making it one of the crop species with the most variability. Therefore, the fundamental issues facing maize curators and breeders are maintaining genetic variety and improving the management of genetic resources. Due to its cross-pollination in nature, maize has preserved heterozygous equilibrium in an open population. Inbred lines with genetically diverse origins have been shown in research on maize to be more productive than crossings of inbred lines made from closely related sources Vasalet *al.* (1989), Kumaret *al.* (2013). Although morphological features represent the outward expression of an organism's underlying genetic structure, they serve as significant indicators for determining the genetic diversity. The morphological variability's characterization enables breeders to recognise accessions with desired traits and prevent duplication of accessions in the acquisition of

accessible germplasm and their application in varietal programme for improvement. Study of genetic variation in inbred maize populations will make it easier to determine the genotype's real potential utility. Further, statistical approaches to evaluating diversity that take into account the genetic distance between breeding purposes and the diversity-enhancing effects of individual characters (Singh and Narayanan, 2013). Using the information provided above, the current study was carried out to characterise 25 inbred lines of maize based on morphological and yield characteristics, as well as to determine their genetic diversity.

Materials and Methods:

This investigation was conducted at the Maize Research plot of Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi farm of Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur (Bihar). The experiment was conducted during Kharif, 2022 in randomized block design under three replications. The plot was well drained and irrigated, and the topography was uniform. In each plot, two rows of 4m length are spaced 75 cm apart having plant distances at 20 cm each. A total of 25 maize inbred lines from a variety of maize populations were used in the experiment as per following details (Table-1).

Table 1: List of inbred lines and their source

No.	Inbred line	Abbreviation	Source
1	BML-6	G1	TCA, Dholi Center
2	POP-65	G2	TCA, Dholi Center
3	2006-6-CML-471	G3	TCA, Dholi Center
4	HKI-323-B	G4	TCA, Dholi Center
5	21-113-CML-411	G5	TCA, Dholi Center
6	CM-202	G6	TCA, Dholi Center
7	CM-142	G7	TCA, Dholi Center
8	CM-210	G8	TCA, Dholi Center
9	DTPYC ₉ -F ₄₆ -3-4-1-1-B*-8	G9	TCA, Dholi Center
10	G18seqC ₅ F ₁₀₅ -1-1-1-2-BB-B2-B4	G10	TCA, Dholi Center
11	CML-224	G11	TCA, Dholi Center
12	8-12-38-IEC-618960	G12	TCA, Dholi Center
13	HKI-1105	G13	TCA, Dholi Center

14	LM-13	G14	TCA, Dholi Center
15	IC296599	G15	TCA, Dholi Center
16	HKI-163	G16	TCA, Dholi Center
17	CML-41	G17	TCA, Dholi Center
18	EC-618219	G18	TCA, Dholi Center
19	CML-117-3-4-1-1-4-1	G19	TCA, Dholi Center
20	P-3396-51	G20	TCA, Dholi Center
21	P-3404-57	G21	TCA, Dholi Center
22	(CA145021CA14509) F2-32	G22	TCA, Dholi Center
23	(CML-165xK145)-B-11-3-BB-1-B*7	G23	TCA, Dholi Center
24	DTPWC ₉ -F ₂₄ -2-3-1-3-2-1-2-B*9	G24	TCA, Dholi Center
25	Temp x Trop (HO)QPM-BBB-23-BBB	G25	TCA, Dholi Center

Altogether, 14 morphological and quality traits on five randomly selected plants were recorded viz. number of days to 50 % tasseling, silking, and 75% brown husk per plot among the 25 inbred lines. The remaining traits were recorded using five randomly selected plants at appropriate stages as mentioned in table 2.

Table 2: Observations recorded

SI. No	Name of the character	Abbreviation
1	Days to 50 percent Tasseling	DTT
2	Days to 50 percent silking	DTS
3	Days to 75 percent Brown husk	DBH
4	Plant height(cm)	PH
5	Ear height(cm)	EH
6	Ear length(cm)	EL

7	Ear girth(cm)	EG
8	Number of kernels per row	NKR
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	NKRE
10	Iron content (ppm)	Fe
11	Zinc content (ppm)	Zn
12	100 grain weight (g)	100- GW
13	Harvest index	HI
14	Grain yield (kg/ha)	GY

Standard statistical approaches were used to draw appropriate interpretations after computations for Analysis of Variance, Genotypic and Phenotypic Co-efficient of Variability, Correlation coefficient analysis, Path coefficient analysis and Genetic divergence studies

for statistical analysis online software OPSTAT of HAU, Hisar was used.

Zinc and Iron content in kernel is analyzed biochemically after digestion with di acid followed by AAS method after harvesting.

Method of iron and zinc content should be mention

Results and discussion:

Genetic potential of 25 maize inbred line for yield and component traits exhibited sufficient variation in the inbred lines studied according to the analysis of variance. Treatment mean sum of squares were significant for all the fourteen traits as mentioned in table 3. A similar result of significant mean sum of squares due to genotypes was observed by Nzube et al. (2014), Sharma et al., (2014), Begum et al., (2016), Bhiusal et al. (2017), Jilo et al. (2018), Rai et al. (2021), Magar et al. (2021). The mean performance is known to show quantitative inheritance pattern and provides a reflection of the breeding value of inbred lines high-mean-performance inbred lines in various situations. According to the data on mean performances of 25 inbred lines (Table 3), the best inbred line in terms of grain yield (Kg/ha) was (CML-165 x K145)-B-11-3-BB (3933.91kg/ha). It was the highest yielder among the inbred lines with superior ear lengths, ear length, and minimal kernel rows per ear followed by HKI-1105 and 21-113-CML-411. The best inbred line for grain yield

(kg/ha) was (CML-165 x K145)-B-11-3-BB followed by HKI-1105 (3535.66) and BML-6 (3318.50). Yield should be given under bracket

The findings demonstrated that the phenotypic variance was greater than the genotypic variance for nearly all of the fourteen traits, which indicated that the environment played a lesser role in influencing the expression of the characters. There was a higher phenotypic variance for all fourteen characters in comparison to their corresponding genotypic variance. For grain yield, there was a high genotypic coefficient of variation and a high phenotypic coefficient of variation. The Characters having moderate genotypic coefficient of variation was recorded for iron content, zinc content and moderate Phenotypic of variation for plant height, ear length, ear girth, number of kernel rows per ear, iron content, zinc content. However, low genotypic coefficient of variation was recorded for plant height, ear height, days to 50 % tasseling, days to 50% silking, days to 75 % brown husk, ear length, ear girth, number of kernels per row, number of kernel rows per ear, 100 grain weight, harvest index and low Phenotypic coefficient variation were recorded for ear height, days to 50 % tasseling, days to 50% silking, days to 75 % brown husk, number of kernels per row, 100 grain weight, harvest index. Similar conformity with Hepzibah *et al.*, (2013), Vashistha *et al.* (2013), Nzuveet *et al.* (2014) Sandeep *et al.* (2015), Reddy *et al.* (2012); Sandeep *et al.*, (2015), Bartaula *et al.* (2019), Magar *et al.* (2021). A broad sense estimation of heritability (Table 3) showed that the majority of characters have higher heritability, such as Zn (ppm) (97.95), Fe (ppm) (97.59), DTT (86.34), DBH (85.72), DTS (85.31), 100-GW (58.82), EL (58.58), HI (53.85). Characters having moderate heritability were recorded for EG (39.15), PH (34.32), NKRE (32.63), NKR (31.55). According to genetic advance estimates, GY (kg/ha) (46.54), Zn (ppm) (32.28), and Fe (ppm) (23.12) were also having high genetic advance. The following traits have moderate genetic advance as percent of mean namely EL (15.49), 100-GW (12.04), DTT (11.22) HI (11.05), DTS (11.03), DBH (10.42). Despite this, genetic advance was low with respect to EH (9.99), EG (8.25), PH (7.12), NKRE (6.88), NKR (6.42). Therefore, characters having higher heritability with high genetic advance were iron content, zinc content and grain yield. The variation was primarily caused by additive gene effect. It suggested that a genotype may be created that is widely used if these traits are selected for utilizing fixable genetic variance. High GCV, PCV, heritability, and GA as% of mean values were observed for grain yield from variability studies, which is attributable to additive gene action and demonstrates the significance of these variables in evaluation and selection for future hybridization programmers. The findings of Reddy *et al.*, (2012) Kumar *et al.* (2014), Begum *et al.* (2016), Matin *et al.* (2017), Hosamani *et al.* (2018), Sravan *et al.* (2021), Rai *et al.* (2021) showed similar trends.

Table 3: Mean, Variances, Heritability and Genetic advance as percent of mean.

S. No.	Characters	Mean	Mean sum of squares Treatment (df=24)	σ^2_g	σ^2_p	GCV	PCV	h^2 (Broad sense) %	GA as % of Mean
1	Plant height (cm)	149.22 ± 7.03	381.57**	77.64	226.27	5.90	10.08	34.32	7.12
2	Ear height (cm)	73.20 ± 2.70	94.39 **	24.12	46.13	6.70	9.27	52.3	9.99
3	Days to 50 % tasseling	93.10 ± 1.29	100.92**	31.95	37.01	5.86	6.31	86.34	11.22
4	Days to 50% silking	98.00 ± 1.34	102.95 **	32.50	37.94	5.78	6.25	85.31	11.03
5	Days to 75% brown husk	122.54 ± 1.62	150.15**	47.41	55.31	5.46	5.90	85.72	10.42
6	Ear length (cm)	17.53 ± 0 .83	11.008**	9.82	12.83	9.82	12.83	58.58	15.49
7	Ear girth (cm)	11.62 ± 0.53	2.52**	6.40	10.23	6.40	10.23	39.15	8.25
8	Number of kernels per row	27.69 ± 1.30	12.21**	2.36	7.49	5.55	9.88	31.55	6.42
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	12.94 ± 0.62	2.90**	0.57	1.75	5.85	10.24	32.63	6.88
10	Iron content (ppm)	43.52 ± 0.44	73.29**	24.23	24.83	11.31	11.45	97.59	23.02
11	Zinc content (ppm)	36.90 ± 0.48	103.13**	34.13	34.85	15.83	15.99	97.95	32.28
12	100 grain weight (g)	31.22 ± 1.14	20.95**	5.66	9.63	7.62	9.93	58.82	12.04
13	Harvest index	0.36 ± 0 .01	0.0026**	0.0007	0.0013	7.31	9.96	53.85	11.05
14	Grain yield (kg/ha)	2610.30 ± 97.55	1151609**	28552.75	374352	23.43	24.31	92.91	46.54

Table 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

S. No	Character	Mean sum of squares		CV	CD at 5%	
		Replication (df=2)	Treatment (df=24)			
1	Plant height	7.65	381.57**	148.63	8.64	20.07
2	Ear height	2.69	94.39 **	22.00	6.42	7.72
3	Days to 50 % tasseling	0.009	100.92**	5.05	2.25	3.69
4	Days to 50% silking	0.007	102.95 **	5.44	2.67	3.83
5	Days to 75% brown husk	1.99	150.15**	7.90	2.23	4.61
6	Ear length	0.16	11.008**	100.80	8.65	2.38
7	Ear girth	0.06	2.52**	0.86	7.9	3.73
8	Number of kernels per row	0.35	12.21**	5.12	8.17	1.79
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	3.21	2.90**	1.18	8.69	1.27
10	Iron content	0.22	73.29**	0.59	1.72	1.39
11	Zinc content	0.05	103.13**	0.71	2.29	3.28
12	100 grain weight	1.02	20.95**	3.96	6.38	3.2
13	Harvest index	0.00014	0.0026**	0.0005	7.69	0.04
14	Grain yield	2561	1151609**	28553	6.37	278.26

** Significant at P =0.01

All the 25 inbred lines of maize were studied for their genetic diversity based on the Mahalanobis D^2 statistic (1936) based on fourteen important quantitative characteristics. A hierarchical clustering divided these inbred lines into eight clusters according to their genetic distance (table 5&6). Those genotypes belonging to the same cluster are likely to be less diverse from each other than those belonging to the different clusters, so crossing between genotypes belonging to the same cluster may not result in the desired heterotic response and desired segregants in subsequent generations. Among eight clusters using Tochers' method, Cluster I possessed the largest inbred lines (12 in number), while clusters II, V, VI, VII, and VIII had only one inbred line each. There was a maximum inter cluster distance (110.86) between clusters VI (21-113-CML-411) and cluster VIII (HKI-1105), indicating that these clusters have a high degree of genotype diversity among themselves. The results of breeding programs can therefore be achieved by selecting diverse parents from different clusters. Genotypic diversity is higher in clusters with a greater distance between them. Earlier workers such as Kumar and Singh (2002), Kage *et al.* (2013), Antony *et al.* (2021), Singh *et al.* (2005), and Hassan *et al.* (2018) adopted similar approaches.

It was found that the mean intra cluster distance varied from 29.96 to 44.66. The maximum intra cluster distance exhibited in cluster III (44.66), followed by cluster I (39.14), cluster IV (29.96), and cluster VIII (0.00). However, there was no intra cluster distance observed in cluster II, cluster V, cluster VI, cluster VII, and cluster VIII. Based on D^2 values, cluster I is (44.22) having distance from cluster II, and cluster VIII is (75.76) distant from cluster I. Cluster II has the least divergence (32.11) with cluster VI, and cluster II has the most distant with cluster VIII (71.39). Cluster III was close to cluster VI (55.48), while cluster IV (83.43) was far away. There was the greatest divergence with cluster IV and cluster VIII (95.62) and the greatest closeness between cluster IV and cluster VII (65.29). There was closeness between cluster V and cluster VI (34.91), and a high degree of diversity between cluster VIII and cluster V (110.86). Cluster VI showed closeness with cluster VI (79.72) and wide diversity with cluster VIII (96.83). The most distant cluster from cluster VII is cluster VIII (65.37).

Table 5: Mean inter and intra cluster distances among eight cluster in maize for 25 inbred lines

Cluster	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
I	39.14	44.22	54.76	53.56	58.82	55.09	48.68	75.76
II		0.00	39.15	70.05	45.06	32.11	67.24	71.39
III			44.66	83.43	69.17	55.48	59.68	61.30
IV				29.96	74.36	83.92	65.29	95.62
V					0.00	34.91	86.00	110.86
VI						0.00	79.72	96.83
VII							0.00	65.37

G23 with 35.67, 0.41, 3933.91 per se performance may be used as parent for further crossing programme. In case of quality parameter such as iron and zinc content contents, the inbred lines in cluster VI may be used as a parent for further quality improvement.

In terms of percent contribution of fourteen character to total divergence were observed maximum for days to 75% brown husk, followed by zinc content, 100 grain weight, ear length, iron content, days to 50% silking, ear girth, number of kernel rows per ear, days to 50% tasseling, harvest index, grain yield, plant height, number of kernels per row. Similar approach was opted by Anderson (1957) and Rao (1952), Nehviet *et al.* (2008), Ganesan *et al.* (2010) and Rigon *et al.* (2015), Antony *et al.* (2021) in maize

Table 8: Contribution percentage of 14 characters towards genetic divergence

S.no	Source	Times ranked 1 st	Contribution %
1	Plant height (cm)	1	0.65
2	Ear height (cm)	10	6.35
3	Days to 50 % tasseling	8	5.22
4	Days to 50 silking	11	7.18
5	Days to 75% brown husk	35	22.87
6	Ear length(cm)	14	9.15
7	Ear girth (cm)	10	6.53
8	Number of kernels per row	1	0.65
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	10	6.53
10	Iron content (ppm)	12	7.84
11	Zinc content (ppm)	18	11.76
12	100 grain weight (g)	14	9.15
13	Harvest index	5	3.26
14	Grain yield (kg/ha)	4	2.61

References:

- Mahalanobis, P. C. (1936). On the generalized distance, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. (India), 11(1): 49-55.
- Rao, C. R. 1952. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. Jhon Wiley and Sons, New York1: 357-363.
- Anderson. E. (1957) A semi graphical method for the analysis of complex problems. Perspectives in Mathematics Academy of Sciences. Washington. 43: 923-927
- Vasal, S. K. 1998. Hybrid maize technology: Challenges and expanding possibilities for research in the next century. In: Proceeding 7th Asian Regional Maize Workshop, Los Banos, Philippines, 58-62. (9): 77-83.
- Singh, P., Sain, D., Dwivedi, V.K., Kumar, Y. and Sangwan, O. (2005). Genetic divergence studies in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Annals of Agri. Bio. Res., 10(1): 43-46

- Ganesan, K.N., Nallathambi, G., Thura, S.N. and Tamilarasi, P.M. (2010). Genetic divergence analysis in indigenous maize germplasms (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1(4):1241- 1243.
- Reddy, V. R., Jabeen, F., Sudarshan, M. R. and Rao, A. S. (2012). Studies on genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) over locations. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*, 4(1):196-199.
- Hepziba, S. J., Geetha, K. and Ibrahim, S. M. (2013). Evaluation of genetic diversity, variability, character association and path analysis in diverse inbreds of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of plant breeding*, 4(1): 1067-1072.
- Kage, U., Madalageri, D., Malakannavar, L and Ganagashetty, P. (2013). Genetic diversity studies in newly derived inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Molecular Plant Breeding*, 4.
- Singh, P. and Narayanan, S. S. 2013. Biometrical techniques in plant breeding. Fifth ed. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India.
- Vashistha, A., Dixit, N.N., Sharma, S.K. and Marker, S. (2013) Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimate in maize genotypes. *Bioscience Discovery* 4(2): 165-168.
- Kote, U. B., Kumar, P. V., Ahamed, M. L., Rani, Y. A., Rao, V. S and Adilakshmi, D. (2014). Correlation and path analyses in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 5(3): 538-544.
- Lal, M. and Singh, D. (2014). Studies of variability using morphological and quality traits in quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 5(3): 526-530.
- Nzuve, F., Githiri, S., Mukunya, D. M and Gethi, J. (2014). Genetic variability and correlation studies of GY and related agronomic traits in maize
- Rigon, J.P.G., Capuani, S. and Rigon, C.A.G. (2015). Genetic divergence among maize hybrids by morphological descriptors. *Bragantia*.74(2): 156-160.
- Sandeep, S., Bharathi, M., Reddy, V. N and Eswari, K. B. (2015). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in inbreds of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *EEC*, 2015, 278.
- Begum, S., Ahmed, A., Omy, S. H., Rohman, M. M. and Amiruzzaman, M. (2016). Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 41(1), 173-182
- Matin, M. Q. I., Uddin, M. S., Rohman, M. M., Amiruzzaman, M., Azad, A. K. and Banik, B. R. (2017). Genetic variability and path analysis studies in hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 8(12): 3101-3109.
- Hosamani, M., Kuchanur, P. H., Mahiboobsa, M. and Siddhesh, R. (2018). Genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(3): 1964-1966.
- Hassan, A. A., Abdikadir, M., Hasan, M., Azad, A. K and Hasanuzzaman, M. (2018). Genetic variability and diversity studies in maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 11(11): 69-76.

- Bartaula, S., Panthi, U., Timilsena, K., Acharya, S. S and Shrestha, J. (2019). Variability, heritability and genetic advance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes. *Research in Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries*, 6(2) :163-169.
- Antony, B. J., Kachapur, R. M., Naidu, G. K and Harlapur, S. I. (2021). Genetic diversity study among maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *J Farm Sci*, 34 :352-356.
- Magar, B. T., Acharya, S., Gyawali, B., Timilsena, K., Upadhyaya, J and Shrestha, J. (2021). Genetic variability and trait association in maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties for growth and yield traits. *Heliyon*, 7(9), e07939.
- Rai, R., Khanal, P., Chaudhary, P and Dhital, R. (2021). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and yield related traits in maize genotypes. *Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology*, 2(2):96-104.
- Sravani, D., Bharathi, D., Reddi Sekhar, M and Kumar, N. (2021). Estimation of genetic parameters for quantitative traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.) Inbred Lines. *The PHarma Innovation Journal*, 10(8): 501-503

UNDER PEER REVIEW