

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116163
Title of the Manuscript:	Plant Circadian Clocks: Unravelling the Molecular Rhythms of Nature
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I read the manuscript interestingly and found some merit. The manuscript is too lengthy and it should be modified within 8000 words with quality inputs. The abstract and conclusion should be rewritten. The references are not in sequences. The 1st reference in the Introduction section is numbered [39] but it should be [1]. The objectives of this review should be rewritten concisely. The Context for Latest Developments and Historical Perspective should also be concise preferably in a tabular manner. The Molecular Mechanisms of Plant Circadian Clocks should be provided in the form of a diagram if possible. Figure 1 and 2 is not needed; only reference to it is enough. Omics Approaches in Circadian Research should be emphasised more. The Applications and Future Directions should be more engaging. All in all the whole manuscript should be rewritten.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of English is poor and it needs a native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhanshu Kumar Bharti
Department, University & Country	B. N. College, Patna University, India