

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_121075
Title of the Manuscript:	SPONTANEOUS HETEROTOPIC PREGNANCY AFTER A PREVIOUS IPSILATERAL OOPHORECTOMY: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Case report is good, but patients' prior obs history, especially pertaining to previous surgeries (Indication) requires more detail – like whether any h/o previous ovarian ectopic (? r/o Recurrence), what precautionary measures for an ongoing intra-uterine pregnancy & its outcome 2. Pre-op blood investigations including ultrasound images should be added 3. Intra-operative findings – stepwise & more elaborate 4. Lastly histopathology of right tubal ectopic, which confirms diagnosis & its slide photograph 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	None	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but to support it genuinely add – pre-op vitals, investigations, & post-op recovery	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because of rarity of presentation, its important to consider it as one of d/d - if anyone else faces similar situation; however, it has to be proved scientifically by histopathological examination of tissue resected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Enough	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Pre-op, intra-op & post-op findings should be in sequence & more elaborate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In this era of Evidence-based Medicine, always support the findings with confirmatory evidence like Histopathology Slide & Ultrasound scan photographs	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mridu Sinha
Department, University & Country	Sri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Atal Bihari Vajpayee University, India