

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Medicine and Health |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJMAH_121020 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Knowledge Level of Caregivers on Immunization for Children under-5 years in Delta North Senatorial District of Delta State, Nigeria. |
| Type of the Article | Journal of Medicine and Health |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment Please view the attached comments from the manuscript | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is very important because this will equip the household knowledge in pursuit for the well-being of the household and the community. <i>The manuscript is potentially good, I suggest that the research must follow and improve the contents of the manuscript, suggestions are embedded in the soft copy.</i> | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Caregivers Immunization Knowledge Level for Children Aged Five (5) Below. Follow the suggested title | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Suggestions are fully embedded in the attached peer-reviewed manuscript Follow the suggested abstract | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Some subsections of the manuscript must be deleted. Follow the suggestions in some portion of the manuscript. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is potentially scientific in nature. As presented in the portion of material and process. Follow the suggestions in some portion of the manuscript Some references are not implicated in the discussions. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are sufficient in form and substance. Follow suggestions in the manuscript. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Grammarly must be considered because some statements are vague, verbose, and irrelevant. | |
| Optional/General comments | Over-all impact manuscript suitable for scholarly publications. Suggestions embedded in the manuscript. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Leovigilda I. Bithay |
| Department, University & Country | Cebu Technological University, Philippines |