

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120875
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital competency of Post Graduate Students
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) This paper focuses on the skill component of digital competence with particular reference to postgraduate students. The emphasis is specifically on postgraduate students' digital capabilities in both urban and rural areas. 2) In the overall the paper work has some technical merit, but the organization as well as the quality of the writing should be significantly improved to highlight the real contribution. 3) Background to be added and/or further extended. 4) The real contribution of the work does not clearly emerge, while it should be stated since the beginning. 5) The work's problem statement is not correctly articulated. 6) Review of literature was very good. 7) The research gap should have been mentioned based on the topic; it was not appropriately described. 8) There should be a section number for each part. Rest of the sections are Ok</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit B. Kaliwal
Department, University & Country	VTU, India