

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_120465
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Domestic and External Public Debt Enhance Economic Growth? Short-term and Long-term Evidence from Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it offers a comprehensive approach to understanding the intricate relationships between domestic and External public Debt in Short-term and Long-Term. The rigorous methodological framework, including criteria for data suitability and rotation techniques, ensures the robustness and reliability of the findings. I appreciate this manuscript for its meticulous and methodical approach, which not only enhances the interpretability of the data but also contributes valuable knowledge to fields such as sociology, economics, and public policy.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>I feel the title will be much better if the Author may consider it like "Evaluating the Effect of Domestic and External Public Debt on Sri Lanka's Economic Growth: A Short-term and Long-term Perspective"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study's aims, methodology, results, and conclusion. However, there are a few areas where clarity can be enhanced, and some details can be added or adjusted for better readability and coherence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Current: "quarterly data from Q1 2010 to Q1 2023 to trace long-term and short-term GDP effects of debt." <input type="checkbox"/> Suggested: "Quarterly data from Q1 2010 to Q1 2023 is utilized to trace the long-term and short-term effects of debt on GDP." 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The abstract outlines a well-structured manuscript, based on the content provided.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive methodology and rigorous analytical framework. The use of the ARDL method and double log form ensures accurate estimation of output elasticities, providing clear insights into the relationship between public debt and economic growth. By isolating the effects of domestic and external debt from investment, the study addresses potential confounding variables, enhancing the validity of its findings. Furthermore, the extensive use of quarterly data from Q1 2010 to Q1 2023 allows for a thorough examination of both short-term and long-term impacts, ensuring the results are reliable and relevant for policy-making in Sri Lanka.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and are recent</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is okay for publication with minor corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alex Kyalo Mwangangi
Department, University & Country	South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya