

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120788
Title of the Manuscript:	Data-Driven Agriculture: Software Innovations for enhanced Soil Health, Crop Nutrients, Disease Detection, Weather Forecasting and Fertilizer Optimization in Agriculture
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In my opinion, this does not qualify as scientific. It is more of a technology handbook	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. The researchers have a difficult time writing the abstract because this paper is not really scientific. There is no methodology clearly set, no major findings from how the research was done and what the review found out.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Do not look appropriate to be. It would be very difficult to read. The applications and technologies should be categorized.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is not scientific. It is technologically and technically sound for the tech sector	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Most of the references are we pages which are not sufficient. The authors need to give us better references if this is to be a manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very adequate	
Optional/General comments	This will be better if published not as a journal article but rather a technology handbook /manual	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincent Rabach
Department, University & Country	Kenyatta University, Kenya