

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121015
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Plant Nutrient Management on Bulb Quality and Nutrient Uptake of Aggregatum Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> var. <i>aggregatum</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it examined farmers' awareness and perception towards organic fertilizers. It highlights the socio-economic profile of farmers; the level of farmers' awareness regarding organic fertilizers; farmers' perception toward organic fertilizers	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not very comprehensive, as it failed to capture the method of data analysis and recommendation of the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are very recent and well captured inside the paper	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, though the researcher should thoroughly go through the manuscript and correct various grammatical, writing, spellings, and punctuation errors	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript did not make any recommendations.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalu Udo Emeruche
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria