

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_120712</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Boron, Zinc and Sulphur on growth, yield and Mineral Composition of Black gram(Vigna mungo (L) Hepper) in alluvial soil</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Use of Boron, Zinc and Sulphur for increasing soil quality and ultimately the plants growth lead to increased crop production. As in this case, this method of crop production is used for black gram, seems that very good results are seen in growth and productivity of crop. I highly appreciate the nature of work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes! The title of the article is very much suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes abstract is very much comprehensive and informative, as it explains the nature of work and findings of the researcher, but i think there should be more 2 or 3 lines about a brief methodology to improve the effectiveness of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is according to the author's guidelines as per mentioned by the journal.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes! The manuscript is scientifically correct and authentic. I highly appreciate that.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	All the references are sufficient but not recent, I suggest you that references before 2000 must be avoided as there is much more work in recent times so use references after 2000 and show the latest relevance of scientific research to enhance the quality of citations.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is good for scholarly communication. Appreciable.	
Optional/General comments	Use of Boron, zinc and sulphur in agriculture will be very effective for enhancing the quality and quantity of crop production. It will help to overcome the food shortage which we are facing globally. Your work is highly anticipated.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ahmed Saqib
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan