

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120233
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF A CHOREOGRAPHY-BASED LEARNING MODEL FOCUSED ON INDEPENDENT LEARNING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript to the scientific community lies in its novel research methodology, which provides new analytical tools and perspectives in this field of research. However, despite the innovative nature of the research methodology, further detailed modifications are required during the methodological design process to ensure rigour and process.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	unnecessary	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The research methodology in the article needs to be refined and added to the detailed steps of the methodological design	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically rigorous and reliable. Its research methodology is novel and innovative, providing a new means of analysis in the field. Meanwhile, the data collection and analysis processes during the study followed scientific standards to ensure the accuracy and credibility of the results. In addition, the study's self-directed learning orchestration model is well-designed and can effectively enhance students' creativity and self-confidence, thus enhancing the overall effectiveness of the learning programme.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Recommended for acceptance after minor repairs	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yang Fang
Department, University & Country	Tianjin Academy of Fine Arts, China