

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120233
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF A CHOREOGRAPHY-BASED LEARNING MODEL FOCUSED ON INDEPENDENT LEARNING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Although the subject is original, all sections need to be revised. I believe that there are serious shortcomings in the study. Insufficient problem statement and relevant literature and serious deficiencies in the Method section weaken the study.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It is recommended that the problem situation of the research be discussed in more detail. The introduction section needs to be revised and improved by supporting it with relevant literature. Additionally, the contribution of the study to the field should be clearly explained. It is recommended that researchers discuss the problem situation in detail and draw a theoretical framework in the article. The method section of the research is also quite inadequate, like the introduction section. The research model, sample group, data collection process, procedure and ethics, data collection tools and data analysis should be explained in detail. Also, how was the reliability of the data obtained ensured? Detailed information should be given. The results obtained should be supported by relevant research and current sources should be included. It is recommended to improve all aspects of the study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is recommended that the problem situation of the research be discussed in more detail. The introduction section needs to be revised and improved by supporting it with relevant literature. Additionally, the contribution of the study to the field should be clearly explained. It is recommended that researchers discuss the problem situation in detail and draw a theoretical framework in the article. The method section of the research is also quite inadequate, like the introduction section. The research model, sample group, data collection process, procedure and ethics, data collection tools and data analysis should be explained in detail. Also, how was the reliability of the data obtained ensured? Detailed information should be given. The results obtained should be supported by relevant research and current sources should be included. It is recommended to improve all aspects of the study.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cigdem Hursen
Department, University & Country	Cyprus