

Editor's Comment:

After careful perusal on the revisions made, I find the ff. not acceptable:

In the methodology section, it was mentioned that"

The qualitative research design was employed in this study to explore the development of a self-directed learning orchestration model for enhancing students' creativity and self-confidence. The study utilized a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative data collection and analysis techniques. Data analysis is conducted using statistical analysis techniques to test hypotheses and explain the research findings (Ratnasari et al., 2023). - WHAT IS REALLY THE RESEARCH DESIGN, QUALITATIVE OR MIXED? If mixed method, what specific type? Sequential exploratory, sequential explanatory or convergent parallel? Not much improvement from the first submission. It would be helpful if in the methodology, you divide the phases into sections instead of a lengthy continuous paragraph. Example of subsections: needs analysis, instrumentation (on validity and reliability), model revisions, comprehensive needs assessment, data analysis, etc. Delineate clearly each phase to understand exactly how did you go about the investigation. Moreover, you mentioned in the research design that there is a hypothesis testing but it was not evident in the manuscript. Only descriptives were used (frequency and percentage).

Pls. dont hesitate to expound your ideas. The intention of the paper is good but we need details particularly on the methodology.

Final decision of the version 2 manuscript: REJECTED

Serious revision has to be made.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Dennis Gamad Caballes
The National Teachers College, Philippines.