

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121108</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Boosting Cooperative Success: Evaluating the Performance of Farmer Producer Organizations in Tiruvallur District</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research has examined the performance of Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) in Tiruvallur district, Tamil Nadu with a focus on identifying 14 factors influencing their effectiveness. This research is important to ensure the success and sustainability of a cooperative based on the 14 variables evaluated. As shown in the title, boosting the success of cooperatives requires considering interventions aimed at increasing the positive influence of certain aspects while minimizing the negative influence of other aspects. Referring to this study, further study in other places certainly needs to be carried out.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of research results should be changed with a new explanation as follows, "Of the 14 personal, psychological, and socio-economic variables that are considered to influence the performance of Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs), 8 variables have significant positive correlation toward FPO's performance, namely education, farming experience, annual income, innovativeness, information source utilization, group cohesiveness, decision-making behavior, and attitudes toward FPO (which has the highest correlation). On the other hand, 2 of these 14 variables have negative correlation, namely occupation and farm size, but only occupation has significant negative correlation. The R2 value of this study was 61.9%; thus, FPO's performance can be explained by the 14 variables of this research."	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are 8 variables in this study that have a significant positive correlation. In addition to the findings of Venkattakumar et al. (2019), researchers need to look for additional references that have similar conclusions.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	If the article abstract is changed as above, English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Henrykus Sihaloho</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas, Indonesia</b>