

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120702
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Recycling Ceramic Waste in Construction: Enhancing Durability and Reducing Environmental Impact
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article focuses on the waste utilization of Ceramic in the construction industry. Overall, article looks good, and it focuses on the durability and environmental challenges due to Ceramics waste. I have some suggestions and modifications for further improvement of this article, please see in sections below:	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please change it, paraphrase the sentence without semi-colon, like: Effects on Durability and Environmental Impacts due to Recycled Ceramic Waste in Construction Industry – A Review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive, but some quantitative conclusions should be provided and highlighted in the revised manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Article is good and useful for the readers and researchers. Authors have compiled several durability properties and highlighted their effectiveness in current scenarios. The environmental challenges are lacking in the article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, need a proper attention, many typos and mistakes are there.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	General Comments: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many typo errors, sentences and grammar mistakes. 2. Units are wrong, please recheck thoroughly. 3. Quality of graphs can be improved and more data can be fetched from research articles. 4. All the graphs are just prepared with only 2-3 studies data, why, it can be added and prepared with more data. 5. Many other properties are discussed such as drying shrinkage, freezing & Thawing, Sulphate attack, but no any graphical comparison. 6. As it was mentioned in titles, the environmental effects will also be discussed, please check the manuscript and add one section related to environmental challenges. 7. Very poor formatting of the article. 8. Conclusions statements are very generalized, please provide some quantitative findings. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Wenzhou University of Technology, China