

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_120932
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of Institutions and Gross Domestic Product of Western Balkan Countries
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important because it enhances our understanding of how institutional quality influences economic growth dynamics specifically within Western Balkan countries; it serves as a guide for policymakers aiming at reform; contributes significantly to academic literature; promotes regional stability; informs international aid strategies; and establishes a foundation for future research initiatives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	My suggestion of this title, ' "Institutional Quality as a Determinant of Economic Growth: Analyzing GDP Trends in Western Balkan Countries"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	You can review your abstract to accommodate and or include the following; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction: The goal of the study or crucial background. • Methods: Basic study design. • Results: Summary of major findings. • Discussion: Interpretations, conclusions, broader implications, future research. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific correctness of this manuscript hinges on its clear research question, robust methodology, credible data sources, thorough analysis, and logically derived conclusions regarding institutional quality's impact on economic growth in Western Balkan countries.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	For the original research articles, you need to have between 20 to 50 references, depending on the complexity and breadth of the research. This document has only 15 references. You also need to include DOI numbers. The inclusion of a DOI is essential because it provides a permanent link to the document, ensuring that readers can easily locate the source even if its URL changes over time.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thomas Opiyo Okumu
Department, University & Country	The Heart to Action Organization, Kenya