

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121305</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Usage of mobile phone technologies of Agricultural extension services utilized by farmers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientific. It is brief and concise in nature but has what it takes. I also like it because. It diversifies to agricultural usage of mobile phone even in the farm.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is ok but add recommendation to it and keywords after the abstract. Also, remove correlation from it because descriptive statistics only was used.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not too appropriate. It can be arranged as follows: Introduction, Literature review (optional), Materials and methods, Results and discussion, Conclusion (recommendation will be embedded in it) and References.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientific and robust because it involves a statistical description (descriptively). It is also scientific and robust because you can monitor your farm and inputs through mobile phone.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author needs to add more and recent references for robustness and update.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	After minor corrections, the paper is satisfactory and publishable.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Micheal Vincent Abiodun
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria