

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_120816 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Studies on performance of elite Dutch rose varieties under naturally ventilated polyhouse for Northern dry zone of Karnataka |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This is average study may be useful for others but only after thorough corrections | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title needs to be changed as all the varieties are not Dutch varieties under study | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is well written | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Appropriate but need extensive re-writing in response to the comments mentioned | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This is average manuscript May be useful for further studies | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Are sufficient but many are missing, need to look into pattern As a casual approach has been attempted by the author | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Not so good | |
| Optional/General comments | Needs thorough re-look, checking and writing in all the sections. Results are to be explained with reference to CD as only maximum and minimum are discussed | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ranjit Singh |
| Department, University & Country | Punjab Agricultural University, India |